Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	I would not be able to have visitors to my house during these hours which is ridiculous	Partially oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly support	All look good to me.	Strongly support	Also have CCTV to pick up e-scooters and illegal E-Bike users. Taking your children to school on an e-scooter is so dangerous.	Strongly Support	No
Strongly oppose	However, my main concern relates to my youngest child, who is looked after by a childminder It is essential that I am able to park outside the property to carry him safely inside. With the new restrictions in place, this would no longer be possible, making drop-off and collection extremely difficult. The location of my childminder is not within walking distance of our home, so driving is the only practical option. Preventing parents like myself from parking outside the property will not only cause personal hardship but also risk creating a bottle-neck effect on neighbouring roads, as parents attempt to find alternative, already limited,	Strongly oppose	I would therefore strongly urge you to reconsider how this proposal is applied in practice. While I appreciate the need for safer school zones, there must be provision for families who have no alternative but to drive and safely drop off or collect young children. Without such flexibility, the scheme risks creating more problems than it solves.	Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	parking. This could ultimately increase congestion and reduce safety, which seems contrary to the scheme's intended purpose.				
Strongly oppose	Think it should be limited to Mereland Road only. If you plan to bring this proposal in, you need to reduce the price of the school bus. Paying over £900 per child a year for the school bus is too high and has meant many families are now excluded from using the bus due to this. This means families from the villages surrounding Didcot now need to drive their boys to school in adverse weather conditions. This would prevent them doing that. My son uses the general public transport isn't an option, so he cycles but I still have to drive him in bad weather/when is bike breaks.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	Because where the roads will be closed there will be a lot of diverted traffic down my road making it loud and busy. I believe the road closures will not solve the problem rather just move it causing further issues down the line	Strongly oppose	This could cause issues for people who need to get to resident houses for example carers for those who need to support the sick or elderly	Strongly Oppose	no
Strongly support	The roads around Willowcroft are particularly dangerous, due to a maze of	Strongly support	.,	Strongly Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly support	various junctions and inadequate pavement widths. Not just cars but double-decker buses and various vans and lorries use these routes at school drop-off and pick-up times. The proposal would make the area significantly safer and prevent a tragic accident.	Strongly support	Please ensure that you use bollards to protect the footways near the school street as experience elsewhere suggests that parents park where they shouldn't close to the school street and particularly on footways and make the walk to school from the surrounding area unsafe.	Strongly Support	Please ensure that you use bollards to protect the footways near the school street as experience elsewhere suggests that parents park where they shouldn't close to the school street and particularly on footways and make the walk to school from the surrounding area unsafe.
Strongly support	No.	Strongly support	No	Strongly Support	Parking during drop-off and pick-up is dangerous. This will improve child safety. There will be fewer cars near school entrances r which will reduce the risk of accidents and children can

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					walk, scoot, or cycle safely. It will also reduce air pollution - vehicle emissions are concentrated around schools during peak times. Closing the street lowers children's exposure to harmful pollutants like NO ₂ and particulate matter. It will encourage active travel. Walking or cycling to school becomes more attractive and safer and it promotes physical activity, which benefits children's health. Allowances must be made for residents, school staff, volunteers and parents of children with special educational needs.
I don't know	I am a childminder, I have families dropping and collecting their children during these times, sometimes it could also be friends and family collecting. How would this work as a business having visitors to the property?	I don't know	How will it work for my visitors?	I Don't Know	
Strongly oppose	We own a number of vehicles, depending on where we are going in the morning	Strongly oppose	Sometimes we bring home work vehicles	Strongly Oppose	I think a better option would be to create a safe drop off-

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	will decide which vehicle we will need to use. It is bad enough trying to get out and in of Didcot without having temporary road closures, I feel this also affects visitors coming to my home as well.		and won't know the number plate until we get it.		collection point on part of the playing field that never seems to be used, on St Birinus ground.
Partially support	The current extent just means parents are going to drop off children as close as they can to the schools, causing increased traffic and parking on the roads not included in the School Street proposal. Consider increasing extents of the School street to deter parents from parking on nearby streets and walking children in. Proposed extensions: - extending Kynaston Road to between Hagbourne Road and Newland Road - include St Andrews Road, Mereland road & High Street between Kynaston Rd and Wessex Rd - include Wessex road between St Andrews Road and High Street - Include Newlands Road between Wessex Road and Glebe Road - Include Lynmouth Road - Include Queensway from Abbott Road to Abbott Road (both ends) - Include Harding's Strings	Strongly support	How do residents avoid being caught by the ANPR? Will they need to register their numberplate?	Strongly Support	The main issue on this road is the number of children crossing the road and the high volume of traffic (and excessive speed of some of that traffic). Has the council considered a traffic calming scheme on Mereland Road between Green Close and Kynaston Road (i.e. 20mph speed limit with chicanes)? A pedestrian crossing outside the schools would also make it much safer for children crossing the road.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	- Include Green Road & Green Close and Mowbary Road between Green Road and Queensway				
	How do residents in Laburnum Grove, Abbott Close & Sinodun Road (between both ends of Ridgeway Road) leave their streets? Are they also exempt from the school streets as per other residents?				
Strongly oppose	I work in the same road as the schools and it would add additional time on to my commute in the morning if I was unable to drive past the schools to work.	Strongly oppose	Invasion of privacy	Strongly Oppose	I don't think this has been thought through at all. Surely staggering the schools start & finish times (e.g. one school at 9, one at 9.15 and likewise at the end of the day) would ease congestion
Strongly oppose		Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	If local residents are unable to add friends or family to the ANPR system, this will negatively impact their daily lives. I have visitors, friends, and family coming over throughout the day, and I will not permit this system to interfere with our daily activities. It is essential that

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	Yes. I believe the chosen locations are not appropriate for a School Street scheme. Closing these points will simply displace traffic onto neighbouring roads, increasing congestion and creating new safety risks. I also find it strange that Northbourne Primary, which faces very similar traffic problems at drop-off and pick-up times, has not been included in this proposal. More consistent and cost-effective measures such as enforcing parking restrictions, adding crossings, or using traffic calming would provide safer outcomes without negatively impacting residents and surrounding streets.	Strongly oppose	Yes. I do not support the use of ANPR cameras to enforce the scheme. The cost of installing and operating cameras is high and could be better spent on long-term safety measures such as crossings, stricter parking enforcement, or traffic calming. ANPR also feels like a heavy-handed solution to a problem that occurs only at short, predictable times each day. In addition, the system may cause difficulties for residents, visitors, carers, and deliveries, creating unnecessary inconvenience.	Strongly Oppose	you come up with a more effective and suitable plan. While I fully support improving safety for children, I do not believe this proposal is the right approach. Closing the roads with ANPR cameras will only move traffic problems elsewhere, create inconvenience for residents and visitors, and come at a high cost. More practical and cost-effective measures such as enforcing parking restrictions, installing crossings, or introducing traffic calming would address the issues more fairly and without unintended negative impacts.

Location	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
		1		1	,
Strongly oppose Partially support	Don't see how this is needed. apart from making people walk instead of drive.	Strongly oppose Partially support		Strongly Oppose Partially Support	Whilst I support the purpose of creating safer streets
					(especially as my sons and I are cyclists) and agree measures need to be taken to reduce the traffic, I am also concerned that there are occasions when I and others need to use their cars. What happens if I need to drive to work at the school on occasion, for example when carrying something very heavy, or if my children should need to take something heavy/bulky into school, or if they have an emergency one day (such as a bike tyre puncture), or if the rain is absolutely torrential and walking or cycling will mean they are wet through all morning. I feel that a blanket ban could cause great stress and upset on these occasions.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially oppose	During severe weather, it is not helpful for the students to get in or out of the schools safely and dry.	Strongly support		Partially Oppose	During the school street hours, if there would be a simple one-way circular car traffic, there would not be congestion (which is always due to bidirectional traffic and parking cars), space for quick drop off or pick up but no parking. And it would be safer for the cycling and walking students as they know which directions the cars are going. Currently it is chaotic.
Strongly oppose	Create adequate drop-off or parking facilities nearby, and/or bike lanes rather than restrict residents of South Didcot ability to drive to work or drop kids off at critical times of the day. I would support one way traffic solution, but strongly oppose use of ANPR, as that creates anxiety among drivers and seems unnecessary.	Strongly oppose	I strongly oppose use of ANPR, as that creates anxiety among drivers and seems unnecessary. There are better and less divisive ways to tackle this issue.	Strongly Oppose	Plan long-term infrastructure improvements and listen to your communities, rather than introduce PCNs and more restrictions. L
Partially oppose	Remembering that Willowcroft takes early years through to year 6, how practical is it to expect them to walk from The Wave in winter in the rain and dark? What liability will the council be culpable for as a result of this?	Strongly oppose	It will just mean people drive into the area before the time zone starts, park and cause a bigger problem for	Partially Oppose	What problem are you trying to solve? Take photos outside of the time zone and the number of parked cars is no different as most are parked by residents, not

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			residents trying to leave.		parents. Trial a traffic warden enforcing the current parking
					rules and the blockage problem stops
Partially oppose	How do residents of Laburnum Grove exit their road as they are not in the Excluded zone, but don't have another exit point?	Strongly oppose	a) They will change the feel of the area, from 'rural residential', to 'prison camp'. I am strongly against this. I am keen to see you explore less expensive/disruptive avenues first, that are more 'community-building' than 'ruling community with technology'. Lollipop ladies, some single yellow lines with restrictions 8-9am and 2.30-3.30pm, along with daily traffic warden presence to enforce these would be my proposed starting point. 2) I know how tricky and stressful it can be to challenge automatic	I Don't Know	I appreciate that something needs doing. It would be good to have a scheme that builds community connections rather than pushes problems under the management of technological robots.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			fines once you have been sent them, and I would like reassurance that people will be able		
			to easily connect with a real live person to help the in this instance.		
Partially support		Strongly support		Partially Support	My concern is with grandparents collecting children from school and not being able to walk far. They will need to park and walk but could be too far for them.
Partially support	If the council put double yellow lines on the opposite side of the road from the Willowcroft main entrance, then a lot of the traffic problems would be alleviated. When cars park there, it creates a pinch point which traps buses and other traffic.	Partially support		Partially Support	The Willowcroft school day used to end at 15:00. This used to allow chance for Willowcroft school and traffic to clear before St Birinus finished. Now Willowcroft finishes 5 minutes before St Birinus, everyone comes out at the same time and its chaos.
Strongly oppose		Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	To reduce the traffic around the school, the schools could stagger their start/end times. In 2024 Willowcroft used to finish at 1500 and St Birinus

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	It's a large area. Lots of cars will build up outside those areas so causing issues for those who travel and live in those areas.	Strongly		Strongly Oppose	at 1515 which helped. Now Willowcroft has moved their finish time to 1510. The previous times allowed the primary traffic to disperse before the secondary school kicked out. I am a working Mum. I walk to school on the days I do not. The days I work, and then get to my job in Wallingford for 9am! It's already a push. If I had to walk home or to an area outside of the zone, I would not get to work on time. Therefore, I could not fulfill my contracted times.
Strongly support	Could you reduce 6th formers parking along Sinodun road creating hazards for traffic turning in.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Partially support	The proposed area is too large; it should be just Mereland road	Strongly support	As long as residents can register their guests number plates too	Strongly Support	
Partially oppose	Although I am an advocate of what school streets is trying to achieve and particularly active travel, there will still be	Strongly oppose	I am not so familiar with what is being proposed but there are so many	Partially Oppose	See my comments above regarding plans for Northbourne School

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	To assert an efficient and a ferromation		The standard at the second at the standard		
	a number of people who for various		last-minute things that		
	reasons will still need to drive or will		happen, i.e. childcare		
	choose to drive. The road closures		issues, so a		
	proposed will therefore mean that there		friend/family member		
	will be additional pressure on parking on		needs to go to		
	the likes of Kynaston road and		someone's house to		
	Queensway. My main concern is that		collect the children for		
	additional parking on Queensway will		school - how will APNR		
	exasperate already existing issues for		allow for things like		
	those attending Northbourne school. The		this? People shouldn't		
	mini roundabout by Cockroft Road and		be made to feel like		
	Abbott Road is already heavily		criminals just because		
	congested and dangerous during school		they are trying to get		
	times and this will add to that.		their children to school		
	Personally, I feel that there is a huge		on time, instead, there		
	disconnect and missed? opportunity to		should be a sustainable		
	use the Didcot Wave car park for drop-		well-thought through		
	offs during school hours. I walk past the		initiative that		
	Didcot Wave almost every day, twice a		encourages families to		
	day for the school run and the car park is		change habits and plan		
	always underutilised. Instead of closing		time better (if they need		
	roads, could there be a trial of a walking		to park further away for		
	bus type initiative from the Wave to		example).		
	service Willowcroft, Northbourne and a				
	general drop off point for those attending				
	Birinus (less of an issue I think).This				
	would surely be a mid-way point where				
	those who need to drive can still do so,				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
				•	
	but can then park away from the school.				
	This would also be a great opportunity to				
	encourage families to actively walk in to				
	school and would hopefully begin to				
	change habits and eventually families				
	may find themselves walking, scooting,				
	cycling to school the whole way?				
	I would argue that there should be a				
	bigger focus on Northbourne school as it				
	is a complete mess on the roads during				
	school times. This is majorly caused by				
	the Cockroft shops' car park not being a				
	1-way system which causes a lot of				
	confusion, blocked roads and making it				
	dangerous for children to cross (despite				
	there being a lollipop person). Food				
	delivery vans also arrive at school drop				
	off times making it even more difficult to				
	move in that space. I have witnessed				
	crashes; wing mirrors being knocked off				
	and near misses of children being				
	knocked over. Parents/carers park right				
	outside of the school gates (both sides of				
	the road), despite the headteacher				
	asking them not to, but this cannot be				
	enforced as there are no yellow lines				
	deterring drivers from parking there. I				
	was tempted to take photos this morning				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	as it was a disaster (exacerbated by it heavily raining!). Please can consideration be given to Northbourne Primary School and if you need further feedback from residents, I would be more than happy to oblige. It is a very wide catchment area, but that's the nature of the roads in this area, and part of the problem! If Kynaston Road wasn't included, it might improve the traffic flow. Lots of people drive along the one-way section to drop off older children who can walk in by themselves - if they all had to come up Mereland rather than along Kynaston	Partially support	They need to have an obvious "on or off" indicator, to ensure people are clear when it is in force, the same as school crossings have flashing lights at certain times of day.	Strongly Support	Mereland Road during school drop off and pickup is a fatal accident waiting to happen! With the priority traffic chicane opposite the school entrance, and the parked cars, it essentially makes 2 single track sections, on opposite sides of the road. The way might be clear when
	it would add traffic to Broadway, which already gets congested. However, it would NEED a proper crossing on the Mereland Road/Kynaston Road Junction - that is a very dangerous junction, and cars drive far far too fast round it. I have witnessed several near misses. Traffic calming measures, such as speed bumps have long been wanted by residents. From the map, it's not clear whether you				a vehicle starts moving, but there is literally nowhere for them to go when someone comes the other way - so they frequently mount the kerb (on which children are walking, scooting, running). It gets worse when it rains - increased vehicle numbers, poor visibility. It can be terrifying! These are primary school children who are still

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	can access the one-way section of Kynaston Road from Mereland Road? That is the ONLY way to access those houses, so those residents would need to be included in any exemptions. Additionally, we have friends who park on our drive to avoid congesting the roads who would also need access round that corner.				learning about cars, so aren't aware of the risk a car might drive onto the path they're quite rightly occupying! Drivers get frustrated - So often I've seen a slightly nervous driver pause, only for an aggressive one to move and create gridlock - if they'd simply waited, everyone could have moved on sooner. No-one yields for buses.
Strongly	If it could be extended further into	Strongly	You may also need	Strongly	
support	Queensway that would be even better	support	some physical presence to enforce at some times	Support	
Strongly oppose	The inclusion of Glebe Road specifically blocks cars from using the very drop off/pick up point which the school advises parents to use which is madness. Glebe Road should be excluded. Further, having used this drop off/pick up point since the start of term - there is really no traffic problem here to solve. It's not overly busy, parents behave sensibly, and it is used by comparatively few cars. You are solving a problem here that does not exist.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	The proposals completely ignore the fact that there are entirely valid reasons why some children travel to school via car. The proposals would leave my son having to walk much further, crossroads and be exposed to the very risks that we are going to great inconvenience to safeguard him from. The only alternative, having to

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	This is needed. Too many parents drive	Strongly		Strongly	park way out and walk to meet our son would simply not be practical or safe especially in winter which would ultimately leave us choosing between forcing our child to take risk/responsibility that he's not yet ready for or losing the income of one parent (both deeply unsatisfactory solutions). The road surface also needs
support	and park outside the school, causing traffic chaos and dangerous roads for students walking and cycling to school.	support		Support	improving - this may encourage more cyclists to school.
Strongly support	I strongly support the inclusion of all of the roads detailed as location points, these are extremely busy, and the volume of traffic is unmanageable at the peak school times. Also, the level of care and attention by motorists in their driving and parking is poor and dangerous on a regular basis.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	I strongly support this proposal, these roads are extremely busy and the volume of traffic is unmanageable at the peak school times. Also the level of care and attention by motorists in their driving and parking is poor and dangerous on a regular basis, with little consideration of the school children and

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
				<u>-</u>	,
					residents. This causes a high level of contention and frustration. as a school we have also had near misses for some of our pupils and on a fairly recent occasion a child was hit by a car. Thankfully on that occasion only minor injuries were sustained, someone may not be so fortunate the next time.
Strongly support	It is absolutely needed I have seen several near misses due to the level of traffic along the road in the lead up to the school gates.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	Poorly planned idea, restricting too many key roads which will result in more pollution and extra traffic time for residents of south Didcot. Lazy solution, the council should work on better infrastructure, not more restrictions or ways to make money off people.	Strongly oppose	Maybe use physical barriers, or volunteers instead? ANPR seems this is just done for PCN money, as people will still drive through, just end up being out of pocket. Another restriction to worry about, and as such not residents friendly change, definitely not	Strongly Oppose	Build bike lanes and create adequate drop-off parking instead!

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			an improvement - very disappointed.		
Strongly support	As a parent who lives further away from school (more than a mile) we often need to drive. The proposed changes would affect us as we park on Glebe Road. However, I still support the scheme, because the traffic in front of both schools on Mereland road is incredibly dangerous for children making their way to school.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly support	It is such a good idea as many people waiting for their children leaving school park on the bends of the street obstructing the view around the bend making it very dangerous for all concerned.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	It's about time something was done regarding parking around the schools in the neighbouring streets, it is only time before their is an accident.
Strongly oppose	This pushes the issue to Queensway. It doesn't solve the issue. It will also cause issues for Northbourne school. Residents of Queensway should also be able to pass through this area during restricted times. The solution it no parking! Not no entering. The issue isn't the cars passing the schools and surrounding roads, it's parents and students parking and blocking the roads.	Strongly oppose	Use it to stop parking, not stop cars entering.	Strongly Oppose	Residents of "older" didcot who have lived there for many years are now being penalised because too much new housing was agreed. Most of us in this area did not want GWP and the rest of the new housing and now, because there are too many people and oversubscribed schools, we have to change

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					our routes and add considerable amount of time to journeys going through congested areas like the Broadway because of poor housing decisions forced upon existing residents.
Strongly oppose	Location points are terrible, this will cause severe congestion for many commuters, Didcot is already terrible for congestion, this will make it 10 x worse.	Strongly oppose	Terrible idea.	Strongly Oppose	Closing down the street the schools are on might be ok. But all the other side roads make it impossible for people to drive past the school at all.
Partially support	I live on Sinodun Road which is not a restricted area, however there is no other access to our road other than through the restricted area. Would we be exempt? I also have a family member who drives to my house during the restricted times and looks after my children at my house whilst I'm at work. How would this work?	Partially support	As above	Partially Support	I think it is a very good idea as the traffic is awful during those times, but others factors need to be considered before putting a blanket ban on such a large area
Partially support	The proposed zone isolates residents in most of Sinodun Road (to the east of Ridgeway Road) and all of Abbott Close (off Abbot Road). Will residents in those streets be allowed exemption certificates? I am not sure it is necessary to include	Strongly support	There is not another technical solution at the moment, is there?!	Strongly Support	Signage is going to have to be very good for the section of Mereland Road included, as this is a major distributor road for the south of the town

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	the section of Kynaston Road currently shown				
Strongly oppose	It is not going to solve the problem, only push it elsewhere and causing disruption to the wilder community. It will hinder people getting to and from work and access to other schools.	Strongly oppose	Why should people be penalized for using the public roads. There is a green space which is not fully utilized. Maybe use this. Fineing people is not the answer	Strongly Oppose	This is not the answer. Yes, it would make the outside of the school safer, but it will not fix the solution.
Strongly oppose	All this will do is move the traffic to the surrounding streets. I do not park on local roads, I use the Didcot Leisure Centre car park. Instead of parking in the car park I will need to instead park outside someone's house. This will make things worse and not better.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	If I cannot park in the Leisure Centre car park, out of the way, I currently do not park outside people's homes, as I use the car park, but if this goes ahead I will be forced to park outside someone's home while If I cannot park in the Leisure Centre car park on days I work, I will again have to park all day outside someone's house. I cannot park in the school car park as I start work once the gates are closed and need to leave before they are unlocked at the end of the day.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	1	I a		<u> </u>	
Strongly support		Strongly support		Strongly Support	It is so important that this goes ahead to avoid a death outside on of the schools. What I have witnessed in this time in relation to near misses is a huge concern. My concern in relation to the safety of all aged children is at it's highest. This scheme will go some way to protecting them. Please enforce it.
Strongly oppose	By designating the street for Willowcroft community as a school street, dropping off and collecting my child from school would be cumbersome as the walk from our home to school is 45mins one way - which is tiring for my little one before school starts so we have to rely on dropping her off by car	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Partially oppose	The proposal initially seemed ok but on reflection a logistical nightmare which would cause more traffic chaos backing up surrounding roads. My real concern is given pedestrians a false sense of security. Trying the proposed closures there will still be a flow of traffic by the exempt pass holders therefore causing a	Partially oppose	The ANPR enforcement would be the best sort of enforcement. There are no other options I can think of that would work	Partially Oppose	I feel a crossing would be more suitable. Or better management and enforcement of current lines and signs. Managing the illegal and dangerous parking. (Not for police) Up keep of surrounding

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Neither support nor oppose	danger for pedestrians etc. thinking it is clear and safe.	Strongly oppose	I strongly disagree with this scheme. If I have visitors, or people coming over, driving lessons booked for these times, deliveries, I do not feel I should be impacted because I live close by. isn't badly affected by school traffic, the worst areas are the whole of the Queensway and if this isn't included it is going to hugely make the problems worse in those areas.	Partially Support	pavements including cutting back of foliage and general maintenance. Northbourne School is also is close proximity and I feel it will push parents to park nearer that school other parts of the Queensway. This area, particular with school buses is really dangerous. I would rather cars did park on Abbott Road that make other areas busier.
Strongly oppose	Blocks the access to Sinodun road when school street is in operation.	Strongly oppose	Blocks the access to Sinodun road when school street is in operation.	Strongly Oppose	Sinodun road, Didcot, how do I leave my house to go to work (see map) when the school street is in operational? I cannot get out of my road when it is in force without receiving a penalty.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					You need to include Sinodun
Partially support	Mereland Road is the most dangerous and would definitely benefit. Looking at the map I think more of Kynaston Road should be included as the current plans will push traffic onto that road, and that is crammed with cars on both sides on a good day. Ridgeway Road and Richmere Road could be removed - they offer lots of parking spaces a short walking distance from the school. Also with these included and not Sinodun, Sinodun is cut off. There are also actual parking spaces around the corner shops/chip shop that could be used for school traffic.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	road in the exemption area! A zebra crossing on Mereland Road would be hugely beneficial.
Strongly support		Strongly support		Strongly Support	The council should consider drop-off points for children to be accompanied to the schools by minibus.
Strongly support	The location of the school street seems appropriate. However, enforcement will be key since parents dropping kids at schools have been inconsiderate about parking with many of them parking too near junctions and on green space e.g. The Croft green.	Strongly support	In using ANPR you will need to design a system where rental cars can be accommodated since not every resident might have the use of a permanent vehicle.	Strongly Support	This is long-term due to traffic and parking problems having increased substantially in recent years. If this scheme is not going ahead this will have serious detrimental affect on local residents.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	The area is too large, encompassing	Strongly	There is no need to use	Strongly	Fundamentally, it the wrong
oppose	several businesses and many roads that	oppose	ANPR, and I would like	Oppose	approach to answer this
	are difficult to bypass without adding to		to see an effective		problem.
	congestion on Broadway (already		costing before I might		By all means, restrict the
	gridlocked at rush hour). The streets		be swayed in favour.		traffic parking in Mereland
	impinge on the Wave Leisure Centre,		There is a tendency to		Road and around it - that
	making it inaccessible during the times of		overuse surveillance		would be effective.
	operation.		cameras in this country		I would also advocate for the
	In addition there are a number of roads		and may people dislike		removal of ineffective traffic
	that seem to be "trapped", I.e. the large		such cameras.		calming in Mereland Road
	part of Sinodun road that is not part of		I also suspect these will		(specifically the chicane by
	the area, but from which it is impossible		be a target for		the exit to Willowcroft
	to leave except travelling through school		vandalism, increasing		School), as well as proper
	streets. It also blocks the walking bus		the costs.		crossings on Mereland Road.
	set down at the. wave from those		There are cheaper and		Other sites near the school
	approaching from the east.		or more "friendly"		would also benefit from
	Finally, the plan does not account for the		alternatives, such as		crossings, such as
	fact that SBS and DGS have a split site,		using yellow lines and		Hagbourne Rd (opposite
	which necessitates the movement of staff		drop off restrictions. In		Bridge CI) where a number of
	between sites who may be adversely		addition, using PCOs		students and families are
	affected. School staff who arrive or		give a "presence" that		forced to cross dangerous
	depart in these times will be significantly		ANPR lacks. People		roads.
	affected.		are more like to behave		
	There also seems to be no consideration		correctly when a person		
	for the fact people may need to leave the		is there (even if only		
	school site in an emergency or parents		occasionally).		
	who drive to their work may need to pick		Bottom line: using		
	up their child in an emergency.		ANPR gives the		

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			impression that this scheme is, at least in part, a revenue raising scheme and not a safety one. Given the backlash to LTZs etc. in Oxford, I would have thought the OCC would not like another well intended scheme used by internet trolls to feed more have and antiwoke conspiracy theories that negatively impact such efforts to make roads safer.		
Strongly support		Strongly support	I worry that those who live within the school street will still drive their children to school as their exemption will mean they will not be fined.	Strongly Support	I think this is a fantastic scheme. The roads around both schools are dangerous, and drivers use the road without due care/ attention.
Strongly support	Laburnum Grove is a cul-de-sac but is used by parents for parking every day of the school week, so that at times residents cannot park outside their own homes. Laburnum Grove is also used as	Strongly support	Just pleased something is at long last being considered.	Strongly Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially support	a racetrack by some parents in vehicles despite the 20mph speed restriction. This cul-de-sac is mainly bungalows for elderly residents who need easy access to their homes and are not obstructed by parents vehicles. These are quite far-reaching causing difficulties for disabled carers.	Partially oppose	I don't agree with the use of cameras	Partially Oppose	There are always cars parked on the zig zag lines, there is no safe crossing area. These closures will push the problem further back which may have a knock-on effect on the main roads. Narrow roads and no parking perhaps a 1 way system would then allow the traffic to move through, holding the buses for 15 minutes.
Strongly oppose	Put yellow lines down, stopping people from driving through is ridiculous and will impact a lot of people needing to get to work	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose		Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	I do not agree with it at all, I work in a school and have to get straight to work after dropping my child off to school. I do not have time to

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					walk to school and home again to get my car
Strongly support	This will be really beneficial for the safety of the children. For those who live across town- where will they now park? I know Manor school in Didcot have a deal with Civic Hall/The Barn so parents can park here- will there be similar arrangement or will the traffic issues just move to streets slightly further out? I live on Newlands and although I fully support this I do wonder if we will just end up with more cars on surrounding roads? I walk my child to school so doesn't affect me directly, but I am curious to know.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
				Strongly Support	Not sure how it would work as long as it stops the cars parking on the grass round the croft
Strongly oppose	These streets are not overall dead ends, what you will achieve by implementing this is just a whole load of traffic Jams in the surrounding areas, no one will be able to exit their own driveways if they live close to the school but not in the proposed area of ANPR coverage, I cannot see how this will encourage	Neither support nor oppose	How would this work for a disabled person with a blue badge whom has to be taken out? For instance I am disabled but without a vehicle registered to myself, reliant on others	Strongly Oppose	If this does magically become something that happens you are going to make life more difficult for the surrounding areas, maybe look at just closing Mereland from Kynaston to Sinodun and Abbott Road

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	parents to make their kids walk/cycle independently, just jam up the town more, ridiculous		to shop and go to the drs etc?		
Strongly oppose	We feel that there is a need for safer access by foot or cycle to these schools. With less congestion and for the school buses to run. Completely isolating a whole road (Sinodun Road & Bridge Close) seems like it hasn't been fully thought through. You have in effect cut us off, and not included us in the scheme. Also there are several of us with at home businesses that see different clients visit during these proposed hours. This is going to have an impact on livelihoods, home businesses, and potentially affect house market value. Tradespeople also operate from 8am so how will this affect work being carried out or if they arrive and then have to leave again to get supplies? It all seems a little vague and whilst we welcome a closed street in Mereland Road at those times for non-residents. Where are the assurances for us who are residents? Who has at home businesses? Or children in out-of-the-area schools? We can't be expected to tell clients or visitors	Partially support	We feel that a more cost effective, budget-friendly option would be to have double yellow lines running the whole length of Mereland Road with traffic wardens to enforce hefty on the spot fines. In time, this will deter cars from parking and allow a safer visit to school for pupils, staff and buses. With signs at either end of Mereland Road making drivers aware that they are entering a protected school zone (with times shown) and if they park on any yellow lines there will be a penalty charge.	Strongly Oppose	As a resident How do you propose this is handled? Equally running a home business where clients off road park or street park. How do you propose they aren't fined when visiting? As it will have an impact on business.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	they'll be fined every time they have an appointment that falls within those times. That would be devastating for business as they'll go elsewhere. Equally we can't slash our working day to suit your proposal and lose valuable working hours. Or make our child late for school because we can't get out from either end. Double yellow lines, the whole way. Signs at either end. Generate employment for a warden to issue hefty fines on the spot makes more sense, and less confusion and paperwork filing, because when residents get fined or a visitor we then have to appeal and so on.				
Strongly oppose	I think the proposed school street closure would exacerbate the already problematic traffic congestion in the area.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly support		Strongly support		Strongly Support	Please implement it ASAP, as the current situation is dangerous to children
Strongly oppose	I understand the idea of school street, but you are not working with families, there are a million reasons why parents drive their kids to school, and it's not just because they are lazy. it may be anxiety from the child or parent, sporting injuries, disabilities, timing, cost as they cant	Strongly oppose	Its another invasion of privacy. It feels like it is always down to another money-making scheme! The council is building more and more housing with zero parking, trying	Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	afford the school bus, other commitments, other children. The list could go on I'm sure. One of your reason for children to walk is for mental health and social skills, yet this couldn't be further from the truth, You NEED to help families, restricting car access will not solve the problem, parents will never stop driving their children to school for whatever reason, you will just move the problem to other side streets, causing more issues. Why don't you come up with a solution to help with drop offs? Give parents a drop off zone with a one-way system, you could re design The Croft grassed area, build a drop off zone, more parking that are to be used by schools during drop-off times and local residents at all other times, giving them more parking. Trees could be planted to enhance the look and be more green. Didcot Girls' School have the option to use the civic hall parking and the Barn for drop off times, what option will you have for the boys School? Don't create more problems give use a solution!!!		to make everyone green, it's just not a reality! Every house should have at least two/ three off street parking spaces, this would keep roads clearer, and reduce awkward parking. EVERYONE DRIVES - IT IS REALITY HELP PEOPLE NOT HINDER!!!		

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly support	I'd be happy about this to put into place as the number of children moving around the area if very large. Another alternative would be to put in a real pedestrian crossing that could be timed to come on during school drop off and pick up, or just always available. That might be less disruptive than closing the roads entirely.	Strongly support	Obviously, this scheme would need to be enforced. Lots of people will drive down the roads and also park if there aren't any barriers and I can see that putting up barriers would be very difficult each day.	Strongly Support	I do wonder why several pedestrian crossings couldn't be put in instead of closing roads. I suppose there is still the issue of people parking, which is a problem. I can understand that even if single yellow lines with the school times restricted for parking were out in they would be ignored by a lot of people.
Strongly support	Nope	Strongly support	Nope	Strongly Support	This is a must; it is so dangerous for children to come/leave school with how many cars that are down that road
Strongly oppose	Parents will only be able to drive into Lynmouth road and can't exit it, they will have to turn around in the road and with the children walking to school it is very dangerous.	Partially support	How do delivery drivers and residents enter and exit and these times you want to pedestrians it.	Strongly Oppose	You're pushing the problem further away. It's bad enough now with parents dropping off and picking up in Lynmouth road.
I don't know	No	I don't know	We will have 3 vehicles, are they all covered. I am concerned that if her young daughter was ill and she needed to drop her off to me to look after, then she	I Don't Know	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			would have to make that decision really early. Often not possible, or be late for work. Therefore could		
			we also add the car number of someone who may need to call in those times to put cars excluded? If that was the case I would not object.		
Strongly support	It is a good idea. One of our sons and a daughter's friend have been knocked off their bikes by cars in these areas in past years.	Partially support	Potential privacy issues	Strongly Support	It might be good to still have school crossing staff available for children arriving on foot or by bike if there are still some cars.
Partially support	Where will parents who drive need to park and still allow time for themselves to get to work if certain roads are closed?	Partially support		Partially Support	If the roads close to the school are closed, where are parents supposed to park? I understand it is encouragement to walk but that is not always possible if you have to get yourself to work after.
Strongly oppose	This will only drive traffic to the next nearest streets and will cause absolute chaos in the already congested roads of	Strongly oppose	This will only drive traffic to the next nearest streets and will	Strongly Oppose	This will only drive traffic to the next nearest streets and will cause absolute chaos in

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	Didcot. Realistically this will not encourage people to walk, and they will instead clog up other streets and streets like Newlands Avenue are already difficult enough to navigate without adding extra people parking on these roads to get to the schools.		cause absolute chaos in the already congested roads of Didcot. Realistically this will not encourage people to walk, and they will instead clog up other streets and streets like Newlands Avenue are already difficult enough to navigate without adding extra people parking on these roads to get to the schools.		the already congested roads of Didcot. Realistically this will not encourage people to walk and they will instead clog up other streets and streets like Newlands Avenue are already difficult enough to navigate without adding extra people parking on these roads to get to the schools.
Partially oppose	I think if you are going to propose these location points, adequate planning should actually be put in place. Roads like Abbot Close and part of Sinodun are going to be trapped. This completely destroys my confidence in the council to plan a scheme like this.	Partially support	In a scheme like this, sure it seems logical. Please can details on the cameras be shared to understand what the neighbourhood will look like.	Strongly Oppose	I think this scheme entirely misses the point of what your trying to achieve. Traffic will now congregate at different points of the town. Creating more overall traffic. I suspect more parents will want to walk their children to the school gates as they will be parking further away leading to more parked cars on the roads. I have concerns that this

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					scheme will devalue my property. Will there be compensation? As a resident who primarily walks to work i have concerns that this will make my commute more dangerous with packed cars on the outskirts of this boundary. Kynaston is a key road for getting across town so i have concerns about the amount of traffic this will add to Broadway. This will then negatively affect the bus that i take to work. I have concerns about being able to have contractors attend my property for any reason. Asking for numberplates 2 weeks ahead is entirely unrealistic in this situation. I am confused why the council has forgotten the benefits of zebra crossings and double yellow lines to

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	It is very busy and hard to cross the road when walking as there are so many vehicle obstructions. Some drivers are very impatient, and it feels unsafe	Partially support		Strongly Support	improve road safety measures. Most importantly I am concerned that everything I have just written will not be heard or responded to. For the question below I would like to clarify that I regularly take a bus, I just have to walk to it first as it is not 'near'.
Strongly oppose	generally. I think the area is too large and I will no longer be able to use the childminder as I have to drop my child off at 8am. This is really difficult for working parents	Strongly oppose	It is just a way for the council to make money when people get confused. I think it would be better to have no parking on the school road instead and have a parking warden	Partially Oppose	I think only the road outside the school should be closed. It should be closed to residents too
Strongly support		Strongly support		Strongly Support	The school street is absolutely needed. The

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					current situation on Mereland Road is incredibly dangerous with cars rushing around so close to children. I am a resident on Mereland Road and have witnessed a number of near misses.
Partially	Why does the proposed closure's go as	Strongly	It should be	Partially	
support	far as Glebe Road (this seems	support	enforceable to all forms	Support	
	excessive)		of motorized transport including electric cycles		
			and scooters		
Strongly oppose	Looking at the map you are 'pushing' out' the problem of safety & traffic to roads very close to the Schools Streets Closure BUT by OCC not thinking that the proximity of these areas, it will not only cause a constant flow of traffic 'dropping off' children but it will turn Green Close, Green Road, a section of Queensway, a section of Sinodun Road and Kynaston Rd (one way section) into 'car parks' for staff, sixth formers and parents collecting their children from both schools! Green Close and Green Road are exceptionally busy when SBS hold their football/rugby matches on the triangle they use as their sports field during the	Strongly oppose	You are basically creating only one way in or out of Didcot if you live near to the road closures. Lives in Green Rd so to get to Blewbury to her workplace she will not be able to turn right to go past the school or to return home during certain hours. She will have to go either via town or ho via Hagbourne to get to Hadden Hill Retail Park	Strongly Oppose	Put in double yellow lines. Traffic wardens patrol during these hours. Creating car parking on the green spaces on The Croft AND at the front of Didcot Leisure Centre would help.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	season and getting in and out of driveways is virtually impossible due to cars next to/opposite driveways.		or Aldi creating much more traffic both directions.		
Strongly support	These are a few points that I would like to be considered: - Was a traffic model run with the temporary closures in place? People will still drive closer to the school, and unaware drivers might reach the closures. Is there a flow of traffic considered to avoid congestion and dead ends? Maintaining the Croft green area within the school street area, otherwise it will become a parking lot.	Strongly support	Will there be a signage indicating when the streets closure is enforced?	Strongly Support	I'm strongly in favour of this proposal, and I would like it to be successful. At the moment, Mereland Road is very chaotic and unsafe during school runs, and congestion often happens. Additionally, the green area of the Croft is used as a parking space, damaging the lawn and the street infrastructure (e.g. curbs).
Strongly oppose	I feel that this is going to push further parking issues and traffic onto the nearby Hagbourne road just bordering the proposed area. Many children cross this road when walking to school and it is extremely dangerous to cross already due to cars parked along the road, no proper crossing and speed of traffic coming from town along it. I am concerned it will also negatively impact on the small businesses nearby on Ridgeway Road.	Strongly oppose	If there is money for this I would much prefer a crossing to be installed in Mereland Road, which we desperately need. ANPR cameras will not slow the speed of legitimate exempt cars, bikes and buses which are also a big problem in Mereland road when school ends.	Strongly Oppose	I do not want a school street. I would prefer a zebra crossing and perhaps additional pedestrian barriers, a repainted and extended no parking zone and allow local traffic wardens to patrol the area. This would be much more effective in my view. I have been called to pick them up from school before close to school pick up time. I do not want to carry them

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
		T		1	
					home vomiting to the back of fleet meadow so I use this
					car in this case and park
					responsibly. Why should I
					accept a PCN and then fight
					it at the schools discretion
					while my child is in hospital? I
					am very concerned about the
					danger of crossing the
					Hagbourne road, we and
					many others walk to school
					daily on this route. The
					council painted a green leaf
					path to encourage
					pedestrians to walk this route
					and cross the road here. It is
					like a funnel for pedestrians.
					There is no marked crossing
					and the traffic drives fast with
					pedestrians including children
					having to step or cycle out
					from between parked cars.
					This school street will drive
					parking and traffic to this road
					and make it much more
					dangerous; it is already so
					dangerous at school let out
					time. Please please no

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	The area valuers blocking off is too big	Strong all.	Variabauld mut thans	Stuamely.	school street - put zebra crossings on Mereland and Hagbourne roads instead.
Strongly oppose	The area you are blocking off is too big. You are not only inconveniencing too many households, but you are making surrounding roads unsafe. Queensway is far too dangerous already and will now be treacherous for residents and students going to lots of town schools. You could put cameras to just block off that stretch of road by willow croft so that parents can still drop off in Richmere, Ridgeway and Sinodun and residents of these roads can have unhindered access by friends and workmen.	Strongly oppose	You should put them where Mereland meets Kingston. Where Mereland meets the croft. Where Mereland meets Sinodun and at the mini roundabout with green close. That would block off a much smaller area and make the situation safer.	Strongly Oppose	Use double yellows, zebra crossing instead Improve all pavements and cycle paths in Didcot. They are appalling.
Strongly oppose	It's just going to push the cars parking for school onto other streets and near to other schools.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	It will just push the problem onto the surrounding roads. As a resident, I am very concerned about the potential knock-on effect on my road and also my ability to get to work on time as I currently travel down Mereland Road to get to work. The rest of Didcot will just become gridlocked with cars trying to get	Strongly oppose	There are other methods that should be implemented before ANPR and closures of entire streets	Strongly Oppose	The knock-on impact on people living on surrounding streets is huge. I won't be entitled to a permit or pass to use these streets but yet it will hugely impact my journey to work. There are other ways, in my opinion, that both schools could reduce traffic

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	This will increase traffic on all surrounding roads which are already heavily congested during peak morning, and school drop off / collection.	Strongly	Simply another tax on people already struggling financially.	Strongly Oppose	and parking, such as a one-way rolling drop-off or pick up. Both schools have laybys or in/out entrances that could be used as a drop-off and have parking restrictions rather than total road closures. Providing crossing patrols would also greatly reduce the parking problems and the crossing difficulties. This will increase road traffic and more parked cars along the out ring of these roads. NEWLANDS AVENUE will be insane Parents already park along here. Side exit for St Birinus. Will the Church no longer be able to have services. Funeral. Meetings.
Partially support		Partially support		Partially Support	I work for SOHA housing, we have lots of properties in the school streets area who we will be unable to visit during these times for support visits - this can be managed, however the repair appt's for

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					these tenants to have issues rectified in their properties will be severely impacted
I don't know	If the aim includes increasing cycle usage during this time, then the road surfaces need to be significantly improved. The roundabout at the top of Green Close - which falls into the closure area - is borderline dangerous. Focusing on measures for prioritizing repairs on needs of motorists often results in surfaces that are too bumpy and continue to contain holes that make cycling at best uncomfortable. The area also suffers from a great deal of poor parking which would need to be properly resolved. b) this area rules out one of the main routes through that part of Didcot and is going to lead to poorer road surfaces and other bottle necks in other areas - particularly during the morning rush-hour eg along Queensway and the area around the school at Cockcroft, which would potentially make that much more dangerous for both pedestrians and cyclists. I have been impressed by the efforts made by this school to encourage	Neither support nor oppose		I Don't Know	Please see comments under 6 above

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	cycling proficiency and would hate to see				
	that wiped out by the knock-on from this scheme. To be perfectly frank I think you				
	should extend the scheme so it covers				
	this school as well.				
	c) There are already huge issues with				
	traffic flow during these areas at the start				
	and end of the school day. Proper				
	consideration needs to be given to				
	access in this part of South Didcot to				
	ensure that alternative routes are really				
	available.				
	d) At present I avoid going into town on a				
	bicycle around 1500 because of the state				
	of the traffic, dangerous parking and				
	dangerous driving (no consideration given to cyclists at all. I would really like				
	to be able to support the scheme but feel				
	that much too little consideration has				
	been given to sorting out access needs				
	of the whole of this area of South Didcot				
	(Green Road, Lloyd Road, Barnes Close,				
	Mowbray Road, Cockcroft Road, Barnes				
	Close, Edwin Road and probably a load				
	more.)				
	e) reducing the amount of school traffic				
	and parking in this area should go some				
	way to resolving the on-going problems				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	with the road surface but unless the impact of people finding alternative routes is properly taken into account it is likely to just displace the problem to side roads. The area covered is excessive. Mereland Road from Sinodun Road to	Strongly oppose	It seems like an expensive gimmick.	Strongly Oppose	I think that parking provision for dropping off could be
	Kynaston Road would be ample. It would be better to improve the road signage (e.g. zigzags outside the schools) and add a zebra crossing. The chicane should be removed as it makes it very hard for pedestrians to judge whether it is safe to cross near it. Anyone in Green Close or Green Road would have to go via Park Road and down the Broadway to travel to Wallingford or Tesco. This would bring far more traffic past Northbourne School to the detriment of those children both in terms of safety and air quality. With regards to Broadway, it is a nightmare anyway and this would make it far worse. The other problem is the proposed "residents only" scheme which will abut the school street scheme. At the moment, thoughtful parents park in streets around the schools rather than		For people who do not have school-aged children and do not know when term times are they would be forever worried that they might get a fine for driving there.		made within the school grounds for the times specified which would be of benefit to all that need it.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	outside them. When parking permits will be required, they will be forced to park in further streets at the risk of causing blockage. Many of the children come from a significant distance and if they cannot be brought to school readily because of lack of parking space or permission, it is likely to result in greater absenteeism. Are the schools aware how much administration they will have to provide. What is the cost of the ANPR cameras and systems that go with them? These plans feel like a sledgehammers to crack nuts!				
Partially oppose	I think this is a very large area for proposed school streets. Currently the main problem is due to parents driving their children to school which effects many local residents, not only in the school street area, but in the outlying areas who are unable to drive through due to congestion of parked cars	Strongly oppose	The ANPR cameras obviously cost for installation and administration. Who pays for this?	Partially Oppose	
Strongly support	Sinodun road should also be included I nthe exemptions. Other than that, I'm strongly in favour. Leaving for work is a nightmare due to parents dropping kids off on the street. My drive has been	Strongly support		Strongly Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	regularly blocked as a result of this and the verges on the croft have been ruined.				
Strongly oppose	Pushing the problem further away. We live a long way and can walk, so now we need to find somewhere safe to park further away. This is going to cause severe problems in critical commuter routes.	Neither support nor oppose	No	Strongly Oppose	These measures seem too extensive and will affect most of Didcot during rush hours. We drive on route to work, not especially for school. Walking would cause a 50-minute trip to start the drive to work.
Strongly support	NO	Strongly support	NO	Strongly Support	NO
Strongly support	One end of the street ends injects to the Glebe road and we already experience high amount of the car traffic during drop off times. The proposed plan will have as consequence to transform Lynmouth road in an even more chaotic car parking zone	Strongly support		Partially Oppose	We would like to propose to include Lynmouth rode as a part of the road closure scheme and except our registered vehicles.
Strongly oppose	You need to factor in parents who aren't able to walk for various reasons and who live far from the school. It will make it unsafe and expose the kids to more dangers.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	I live Sinodun Road and I find it disgusting and a ridiculous idea. We are	Strongly oppose	I don't want it. Not including half of	Strongly Oppose	This has not been thought about. Abbott Road I think is

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	completely trapped in on the proposed hours for the ANPR. We cannot leave our property or have anyone come to our property on the proposed hours and I have disabilities and severe allergies where. I cannot understand why the whole of Sinodun road has not been included in the exclusion but only some of it as we are completely blocked in and this will affect us massively. We cannot go any route out. Very badly planned. This will affect house prices if we ever want to sell our house. What about Sinodun Rd? It appears that residents living from 1 to 85 are trapped for 2 hours per day unless they pay a penalty charge (or two) for each day they want to use the roads at the proposed times. Delivery vehicles (of any kind), local carer's vehicles, and just family/friend's	Strongly	Sinodun road has SERIOUSLY not been thought about and can affect our family's everyday life.	Strongly	another road that will be completely blocked off. How do you expect residents to have family and other visitors round during proposed hours. How can we have medical deliveries which I need or other deliveries? Adjacent school times could be staggered by at least 30 mins. Small local buses (preferably electric) could be supplied to collect children from further away in Didcot, although children at primary schools
	vehicles should also not have to worry about these restrictions. If Northbourne School in Cockcroft Road also has a School Street trial, then there is a chance that most of south east				should ideally only be enrolled from within walking distance from their homes. Areas that could/should be used for parking (temporary

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	Drivers determined to park near school grounds, would just park as close as possible clogging up other streets, or possibly park-up 5 mins either side of restricted times and adjacent to the schools, taking up road space for longer periods.				or otherwise) could be: 1. An area dedicated to parking in Mereland Road Allotments. 2. Carpark in NE corner of Cemetery for about 10 spaces (lockable entrance to rear of Willowcroft req'd). 3. Design The Croft so as to have two roads leading in from Mereland Road on the west side, instead of one. Widen the road all the way round by approx. 4m and have diagonally leading-in parking spaces. This will give approx. 50 spaces. The green itself would then be reduced in width from 46m to 38m but this is still large enough for normal after-school activities such as football etc. (Diagrams supplied by email)
					I recently came across a School Street in Headington,

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					Oxford and had to stop in the road to read the smaller text. The main warning sign was OK for size and colour, but the timings underneath were not. The sign position was also very high and I could imagine that on a very sunny day or very rainy day, the signs would be missed by new drivers to the areas. This is not ideal. Primary and secondary schools should not be built close together in the future, if muster times are the same.
Strongly oppose	This appears to cover a massive area (not just bookending a logical street with a school on it) and will also effectively prohibit people from using one of the main through roads in Didcot for two significant parts of the day. For people who live/commute from the south of the town Mereland Road is the main route towards Broadway/Fleetmeadow to	Strongly oppose	I think there is a place for ANPR, but this is not it. It will only serve to catch out residents making a mistake, not those intentionally circumventing the system/parking like idiots to drop and pick	Strongly Oppose	As per comments above. This is not a "School Street" this is a "massive school extended zone".

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points	enable people to leave the town via the Marsh Bridge exit. It will also not just limit school traffic, but also heavily penalise business/user traffic associated with Didcot Leisure Centre which is adjacent to St Birinus on the same road. I also feel that this proposal will just transfer all the pressure onto the Green Road/Green Close loop as people will target this side of the restriction in terms of parking/ease of turning (esp. given the proposed restrictions to the north of this proposal as people will not be able to park there at all unless they are a resident). If the impact is pushed south the increase in traffic/parking will probably directly impact Northbourne Primary		up their children. Surely a traffic warden penalising unsafe parking at peak times would be just as effective (although it would probably generate less money!!!)		
Strongly support	school on Cockcroft Road. I strongly support the school street and the proposed area is sensible. I have a question regarding access to Green Close via the junction on Mereland Road - will this remain accessible as this will allow parents to drop off children here from Queensway and then loop back via Green Close/	Strongly support	There are currently people who live in the exemption zone who drop off at the school in the car - will they be fined for making a short, inconsiderate and unnecessary journey?	Strongly Support	Is there scope to include Northbourne CofE school in the school street?

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
1			,		,
	Green Road without having to do a turn in the road or park along Queensway.				
Partially support	What impact will it have on connecting streets? Can I have visitors?	Partially support		Partially Support	
Partially oppose	The proposal covers too large an area and linked with the proposed parking restrictions in Kynaston Road etc. is going to create problems and bottlenecks in for example Queensway and Newlands Avenue where other schools such as Northbourne in Cockcroft Road and Manor School and Didcot Girls School via the Broadway and Newlands Avenue feed to the same area. I would support a school street for Mereland Road between Sinodun Road turning and the Kynaston Road turning.	Strongly oppose	I do not think this is the most cost-effective way of dealing with the issue. It creates more work for the residents and increases work for Council staff and the DVLA and also has implications for system security and protecting individuals' data safe.	Partially Oppose	This is just one of the current proposals for this area which is alienating residents and reducing their general quality of life and feeling of wellbeing. Introducing one-way systems, improving road markings, installation of a pedestrian crossing, better maintenance of footpaths and more road safety education in the schools would be a better way of dealing with these issues and would be more sustainable over the longer term. The majority of vehicles who use these roads during the proposed restricted hours are people dropping off or collecting children from the schools. They are mainly

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	At present there is severe congestion in	I don't		Strongly	parents who work hours that fit in with school hours and drop off or collect straight from their place of work which is not within reasonable walking distance and who may or may not be within the normal catchment area of the schools. Pensioner grandparents who do not reside in the area also use vehicles to carry out childcare for working parents. To require these to be registered requires additional work for the schools and the individual parent. Having to register trades people places an additional burden on residents as does the requirement to register visitors who turn up unexpectedly or have travelled a long distance and happen to arrive within the restricted times.
oppose	Broadway especially at the junction with	know		Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	Park Road. Sometimes to avoid this I have driven via Park Road, Queensway and Ridgeway Road, for an early morning appointment, in Northbourne. This scheme will make Park Road and Broadway even busier, and there is no formal crossing for pupils from this area walking or cycling to DGS, or from Didcot West walking or cycling to SBS.				
	This scheme will affect morning and mid afternoon services at St Peter's Church.				
	I foresee this scheme causing chaos at the School Street closure point of Mereland Road from Queensway and of Green Close with drive and drop vehicles trying to turn in what will become busier blocked off roads.				
	I also note at present, there is a lot of parking in the Mereland Road end of Sinodun Road and The Croft; if this is not eligible for exemption (older students with car access?) they will be displaced to nearby streets outside the scheme.				
	Safety outside SBS and Willowcroft				

Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
would better be addressed through alternative traffic-calming measures, installing zebra or controlled crossings, and adding pavement barriers to prevent illegal parking.	Partially support		Partially Oppose	As church leaders we are frequently meeting with people in our house on Ridgeway Road for pastoral and other support. While supportive of the idea of a school street, we are concerned by the impact on potentially vulnerable people visiting our house, particularly during the afternoon slot,
'The map showing what streets will be affected by the school street trial is disingenuous. Several more streets than the ones ostensibly marked on the map	Strongly oppose	A complicated access restriction such as this, communicated via signs, will probably be	Strongly Oppose	2:30-3:30pm, who might find themselves inadvertently penalised by the scheme despite having nothing to do with school drop-off or pick up. It seems to me that creating school streets in the proposed way will have great adverse effects for people in
will be inaccessible, as the only streets		easy to miss or		a large area of southeast
	would better be addressed through alternative traffic-calming measures, installing zebra or controlled crossings, and adding pavement barriers to prevent illegal parking. 'The map showing what streets will be affected by the school street trial is disingenuous. Several more streets than the ones ostensibly marked on the map	would better be addressed through alternative traffic-calming measures, installing zebra or controlled crossings, and adding pavement barriers to prevent illegal parking. Partially support The map showing what streets will be affected by the school street trial is disingenuous. Several more streets than the ones ostensibly marked on the map	would better be addressed through alternative traffic-calming measures, installing zebra or controlled crossings, and adding pavement barriers to prevent illegal parking. Partially support The map showing what streets will be affected by the school street trial is disingenuous. Several more streets than the ones ostensibly marked on the map	would better be addressed through alternative traffic-calming measures, installing zebra or controlled crossings, and adding pavement barriers to prevent illegal parking. Partially support Partially support Partially oppose The map showing what streets will be affected by the school street trial is disingenuous. Several more streets than the ones ostensibly marked on the map

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	leading to them will be school streets. The presented map makes the affected area look smaller both eastwards and northwards than what it will actually be. The map does not even extend far enough eastward to encompass Bridge Close which will be inaccessible during the proposed school street hours! The streets affected by the proposed school street trial but currently not marked on the map include: - The "U"-shaped part of Sinodun Road from Ridgeway Road to Ridgeway Road, as access from Ridgeway Road and from	ANPR	misinterpret (unless you have school-aged children you probably won't know exactly when the terms start and end) and results in many people being caught out by the automatic ANPR camera enforcement. Certainly, this will mean more income for the county, but is this the sort of unwelcoming	Overall	Didcot. It is not clear to me whether the problem for the schools is the traffic created by parents dropping off school children or by general traffic? I am going to assume the former as the area is residential, and from my experience does not have much traffic at all. I would suggest you ask parents why they choose to drive their children to school rather than use some other means of
	Sinodun Road (between Mereland Road and Ridgeway Road) will be denied as they are proposed school streets. - Bridge Close, whose only access point is from the "U"-shaped part of Sinodun Road. - Kynaston Road from Mereland Road to St Andrews Road. This part of Kynaston Road is one-way and the proposed school street scheme will block the only entrance which is from Mereland Road. - Laburnum Close, whose only access point is from Mereland Road. - Abbott Close, whose only access point		and underhand society you want to create for your residents?		transport. Then based on their responses, devise a scheme of "carrots" to encourage parents to do what you want them to do, rather than inflict the "stick"! This is particularly important as the stick in this case, i.e. the school street scheme, has negative impacts on a large number of innocent bystanders. Residents will be affected

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	is from Abbott Road.				even if their own vehicles are
	Are you lying about the true extent of the				exempt from the restrictions.
	restricted area because there is a limit to				The area is mature with
	how large the school street area is				residents of all ages,
	allowed to be? If so, surely you need to				including many elderly
	reduce the area proposed for the trial,				residents. Making social
	which currently encompasses the bulk of				interaction more difficult by
	southeast Didcot. This seems vastly				forcing potential visitors to
	disproportionately large, especially since				worry and plan their days
	the only street that has anything more than a minimum amount of traffic on it is				based on access restrictions
	Mereland Road.				will not benefit any of the
	Mereland Road.				residents, but particularly not the elderly and vulnerable.
	From reading your web page				Saying that the restrictions
	https://letstalk.oxfordshire.gov.uk/oxfords				will only apply during term
	hire-school-streets/widgets/131687/faqs				time is not much help as
	it would appear that restrictions are				unless you have school-aged
	actually even worse for roads whose only				children you probably won't
	access is via a school street than they				know exactly when the terms
	are for the school streets themselves.				start and end. The only way
	This is because if you are parked on a				to stay on the safe side is to
	school street you can leave the area				stay away! Remember that
	without penalty at any time, but if you are				south Oxfordshire is
	on a street whose only exit is via a				predominantly rural with
	school street you will incur a penalty as				scant public transport and
	you leave during school street hours				that people depend on their
	because you have to enter the school				cars.
	street area before you can get out. This				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points	seems wholly illogical. There are a few local shops on the corner of Ridgeway Road and The Croft, on the eastern perimeter of the marked school street area on the map. Presumably the viability of these local amenities will come into question when access becomes restricted. If the shops fail, people will have to make longer car journeys to do their shopping, leading to more traffic overall (to other parts of the town).				It is not just the emergency services that carry out urgent and necessary work. Urgent visits are called for when the heating fails or the cooker won't start or the toilet gets blocked. It is difficult enough as it is to find a plumber, electrician or other workman at short notice, but imagine expecting them in their busy day to take into account getting to you at a specific time, avoiding the school street hours? And who in the end will pay for their unexpected one-hour waiting time, or worse, their ANPR fines, when you forget to tell them to avoid these hours as you in your distressed state are begging them to come out to help you with your domestic emergency? One way or another, in the end it will be the customer who foots the bill.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					For works of longer duration, such as house refurbishments, what will the financial penalty be for the residents of the school street area in terms of higher costs of the works? When costing the work, contractors will have to factor in the inefficiency of being unable to come and go to the property as necessary. Remember that we are talking about a large area being affected: the bulk of southeast Didcot! And this residential area mostly experiences minimal traffic. How will the school street scheme affect the Leisure Centre? Reducing access will presumably not be good for people's health.
					Is air pollution really a problem for the location of these two schools? The area

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					is located near the top of a topographical ridge ensuring windy conditions and is suburban in nature with sparsely distributed two-storey buildings in, by modern standards, large gardens. Air pollution seems unlikely to be trapped and cause a problem here. I understand that a problem experienced at other school streets (https://yourcityyoursay.ports mouth.gov.uk/school-streets/school-street-etro-consultation-st-judes-school/opinions-on-the-current-scheme/) is that children are lulled into a false sense of security and start running and scooting in the streets.
Strongly oppose	I live in Sinodun Road, but only part of the road is covered so those on the part	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	As usual, it is very shortsighted and not
	of the road which is not included are not				achieving anything

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	exempt so we can't leave our home. The part of Sinodun Road from one of Ridgeway Road to the other must be included, how was this missed, did you not visit the road first???				
	Why is the amount of streets included so large, this will just mean people will stop beyond this, therefore create large amount of traffic elsewhere.				
	What about people who run business' from their households, including childminders				
	It should just be the area outside the school - Mereland Road				
	There is the same issue in all schools in Didcot, so why is only one area being looked at				
Partially support	The principle of road closures to create a safe and healthy environment for the children to get to and from school has my full support. The closure of the roads will increase the traffic in and around our school, which will have a significant impact on the	Strongly support		Partially Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	families that attend Northbourne Primary School because many of our parents use the surrounding roads, particularly Queensway, as a way of getting to the school, either by car, bike or foot. This has the potential to make these roads less safe. The traffic on Cockcroft Road during drop-off and pick-up times is particularly busy, not helped by some parents parking illegally. There is a Lollipop person, but they are ineffective at managing the road. The road is also used for access to the Tesco metro, which makes it even busier. I really like the idea of the stride/drop, using The Wave Leisure Centre. However, with the increase of traffic on Queensway and no pedestrian crossing, it will make it more dangerous for our families. We are looking into a Bike to School Scheme and would love to work in conjunction with OCC to make both plans				
Strongly	work. Your scheme will make Mereland Road	Partially	I don't see how you can	Strongly	This is not the right solution
oppose	in front of the schools safer but other	oppose	run the scheme you	Oppose	for the above reasons. Put
Chhooo	roads outside of the scheme much more	Chhooo	suggest without these	Sphood	more double yellow lines on

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	dangerous as cars collect there. Even 20 years ago when I walked or cycled my children daily from Ridgeway Road to Northbourne School, Queensway was a dangerous road to cycle along - this will make it very dangerous. I live on Newlands Avenue but regularly have to park my car on Abbott Road as	Strongly	cameras, but what happens if I have a friend or workman visiting my house during the specified times, will they get fined? I live on Newlands Avenue but regularly	Strongly Oppose	there instead and more speed bumps. Narrow the roads to make more cycling and pedestrian access instead.
	there is not enough space outside my house. Since we are not counted as residents for this, if I'm unable to park outside my house and need to park round the corner, I will receive a fine. Also, this will only create more traffic on Newlands Avenue as parents will drop their children off at the roads nearby instead.		have to park my car on Abbott Road as there is not enough space outside my house. Since we are not counted as residents for this, if I'm unable to park outside my house and need to park round the corner, I will receive a fine.		
Strongly oppose	I strongly oppose the proposals as they will make it impossible for me to keep my child safe. This will be a detriment to his mental health, resulting in school refusal and more pressure on staff, Oxfordshire CAMHS.	Strongly oppose	How will the system cope with a multitude of possible vehicle registrations for those who have SEND needs and need to enter the zone daily?	Strongly Oppose	It will simply displace parents to drive and park in neighbouring streets, causing traffic chaos. The massive increase in bus fares for BB services to/from St Birinus makes these financially unviable for many

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	This will make dropping my son off for school significantly less safe for my son, myself and for others, since I will have to perform a turn in the road to avoid the closure on Glebe road where presently I simply drive down the short stretch to rejoin Newlands Avenue. There is no traffic problem at this time in the morning, and the turn is significantly riskier than simply driving out of the road. To avoid this, I would have to drop my son significantly further from the school to navigate busier roads alone.	Strongly		Strongly Oppose	parents and driving is the only option, see BBC News article about this from 22nd September 2025 here https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/a rticles/cj07m5rjq49o Why is 'Public hire e-scooter' on the list of travel options below when this doesn't exist in the location for the survey? This scheme will not make the boys safer, if anything it will put them at greater risk from cars performing tortuous manoeuvres to avoid the closures.
Partially support		Partially support		Partially Support	Concerned about increased traffic on other roads. And unclear how this would work for company vehicles, what if I have work done at my

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					house and builders etc. need access? What if I have visitors?
Partially oppose	Not sure if this is what is meant on the "exempt list" but I'd like if it would allow those who live on any of the streets to be exempt going on any of the roads rather than just the road you reside on. As currently, I would be severely restricted in the route of going to work if the proposed plan goes ahead.	Partially oppose		Neither Support Nor Oppose	
Strongly oppose	Where the end is at Abbott road and Queensway, I will be charged every time I park my car on my drive during the times stated. Complete waste of time think the children need to be taught road safety it's not the drivers it's the kids leaving school riding in the middle of the road and walking in the middle of the road. Why is this such a wide area will affect a lot of people who come home at 230pm to park on there drives and pick up from the school totally beyond ridiculous why this has been mapped out in this way.	Strongly oppose	Absolute waste of money being spent why when I want to drive past the school at 230pm to get to my house I will be penalised because I bought a house which the drive is on Abbott road and my house is technically fronted onto Queensway, think this hasn't been thought out at all and needs to be looked at better the roads outside the school yes I can	Strongly Oppose	As said in previous comments total waste of money and time to implement this plan the layout of the streets affected are going to impact on residents living down those streets if paying for a permit is imposed then the roads need to be fixed as per council tax payments think that buying a house that I have spent a lot of money on and my drive is off onto Abbott road I now have an issue with parking as I come and go during the said times to be implemented into this

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			understand but further		scheme. No thought has
			on down and the whole of Abbott road is a complete ridiculous plan		been put into this at all and people who are for it don't even live in the affected area or have to travel back from work to collect there children.
Strongly support	I believe it is a good idea, since on the occasion I have needed to travel during the afternoon hours, it is nigh on impossible to travel along Mereland Road due to the parked traffic. Also, as much as SBS ask parents NOT to drop their beloveds off along Mereland Road, it has never been enforced, so hopefully now, children will walk rather than be driven right up to the door.	Strongly support	It's a good idea!	Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	Haven't thought about children that is not from the immediate neighbourhood	Strongly oppose	Use a lollipop lady or add zebra crossings	Strongly Oppose	Have completely disregarded children commuting from further away, haven't thought about the added pressure for those children to commute leaving their homes even earlier than they are doing currently but also be exhausted by the time they get in which will impact their engagement at school, also

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					parents of those children that has to get to work as well.
Partially support	Kynaston road will get very congested can the scheme be extended so access is restricted there too	Strongly support		Strongly Support	-
Partially support		Partially support	What happens if you have a different car for the day? Will you be able to put in a reason or will you be fined.	Partially Support	no
Strongly support	I would like to know if teacher's cars will also be exempt from the fine as my colleagues and I commute from far away.	Partially support		Strongly Support	Again, that provision be made for teachers working in these locations
Strongly oppose	The new drop-off point will be on Kynaston Road. There is no provision at all for hundreds of pupils to be dropped off every morning on this quiet residential street. Mereland road by the school is wider, residents are used to living on a main road and it is much better able to take this volume of traffic. Kynaston road is not. It is obvious that parents will drive down Mereland road and turn right onto Kynaston road to drop off their children.	Strongly oppose	Your options appear to be: (1) Add all of Kynaston road to the ANPR scheme. Or (2) remove resident parking on Kynaston road and replace with dedicated school dropoff parking. Or (3) chaotic pupil drop off and traffic jams on Kynaston road every day. Options (2) and (3) will not be popular.	Strongly Oppose	I am upset that since we are on the street that is most likely to object, we were purposefully not written to inform us of the consultation.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose		Strongly oppose	Feels like another excuse to take money from road users who are simply going about their daily business.	Strongly Oppose	The businesses in the area, such as the Wave Leisure Centre and shops, need to be adequately compensated for lost revenue. If this is not part of the plan, leave the roads as they are.
Strongly support	Sinodun Road, where I live, needs to be fully covered by the map. It is a shortcut and as a result we suffer from poor parking and driving from parents of children from both schools. We hope that if this comes into being that it will make life easier and safer for us. We have often said that a fire engine would never get down our road in an emergency.	Strongly support	Be interested in whether people coming and parking in Sinodun or other roads close to school before the cutoff times will be affected?	Strongly Support	Double yellow lines would be good, people just do not care, they park on the pavements, grass areas and make crossing the road a nightmare because you can't see past their huge vehicles.
Strongly support	Lived in Mereland Road for around 30 years and traffic is constantly increasing each year, it's a shock no school children have been injured. People are parking on pavements, bus lanes and the green. There has been many near misses with children and people getting angry on the road, parking in front of houses and blocking residents in their houses. Many road bylaws have been broken. I'm so pleased something is going to be done about it.	Strongly support	As long as residents are exempt from this as we need to work during these times, I fully support.	Strongly Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	St Birinus has children who attend from all over Oxfordshire. This proposal is biased towards those living locally who can walk or cycle. Those who live further away in villages either take the bus or drive, however those who have more than one child will drive as the cost of the bus is now prohibitive and frankly ridiculous, and also now refuses to take children without a permit (i.e. no cash payment for random days when a bus may be required). Those who come by car are typically driven in and straight out at the standard drop off points in a loop. The proposed closures will simply move these points to different roads, most of which are more challenging (i.e. requiring a 3-point turn in a road - which is more likely to cause harm to children in the area due to reversing), and will simply cause more pollution and congestion to these new areas. There will be no benefit to the children either as they will now have to walk further and cross more roads, so how is that safer for them!!!! It's an utterly stupid proposal from somebody who clearly doesn't need to drive into Didcot!	Strongly oppose	Yet another way for the council to penalise drivers and make money. Plus how is this going to work if somebody wants to visit the shops in the area (Croft)? One assumes they will now get fined for picking up some milk from a small independent shop owner!	Strongly Oppose	A poorly thought-out proposal with no benefit to drivers or their children. If the residents didn't want to have kids dropped off on these roads, they shouldn't have bought a house there. When a child gets knocked down because they now need to travel further and cross busy roads, who will be held responsible????

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
				<u> </u>	
Strongly	In theory I can understand the logic.	Strongly		Strongly	
oppose	However in practice there are times that	oppose		Oppose	
	this would make travelling to school so				
	difficult for my son. He normally walks,				
	but there are some days that the number				
	of things he has to carry to school are				
	crazy. There is nowhere to store anything				
	at school so he has been know to need				
	to take his normal school bag, his pe kit				
	including both football boots and trainers				
	and cooking items on the same day. It's				
	physically impossible to carry all this on a				
	40 min walk to school. What about the				
	children who also carry musical				
	instruments backwards and forwards				
	(such as a cello!). Also, schools ask for				
	medical appointments to be outside of				
	school hours as much as possible. This				
	can however mean that a child needs to				
	be picked up to get them to an				
	appointment at 4pm. It's going to force				
	parents in this situation to pull them out				
	of school before the timing of the zones				
	kicks in. Will any allowances be made for				
	children who are injured and need				
	temporary lifts to and from school whilst				
	injuries are healing? If not, then children				
	will miss out on lessons they could				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
		T		1	
	otherwise have attended. Lastly, I've also heard quite a few residents say that at least some of the issue is actually sixth form students and staff parking in the roads and blocking them. This won't be resolved with these measures.				
Strongly oppose	I think it's way too many roads being considered to close. Some parents drop their children off and drive straight to work this would make a lot of parents late!	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	I agree the road is chaotic and unsafe at School Times but don't think this has been properly thought out, not everyone lives close enough to school to walk.
Strongly oppose	I do not want to get a permit to park at my house, what a complete [redacted]ache, I know it will not be made simple, these things never are. Plus what a nightmare for visitors!! I live near a school, I knew that when I brought a house near a school, it's only about 30 mins in the morning and same at 3 - all of which I miss as I am at work. People park like [REDACTED] who live in the area not necessarily people who visit the school. Don't create work and cost for people it's unnecessary, work on repairing the road instead.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	Please do not go ahead with such a stupid idea, actually listen to people.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points					
Strongly oppose	You will NEVER stop people using cars, the restrictions will just move the problem to other roads, people will find somewhere else to drop kids off on their commute to work or other various reasons. Help make life easier for people. Move the start and finish times of Willowcroft 5 mins earlier and St Birinus 5 mins later, this will keep the little ones out the way of the big ones and break up key times of traffic. Employee a lollipop person. Make a designated drop-off zone that people can actually use, that doesn't upset the local residents - try to work with the schools and parents rather than putting restrictions in place, causing more chaos.	Strongly oppose	More invasion of privacy, if it was to stop crime i would have no problem but using it to make life more chaotic and fine people is not ok. It not even like the money be used on the roads affected by the restrictions???	Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	Our [REDACTED] attends a childminder in the affected streets and the proposed morning restricted period clashes with the drop off time. As the children are very young, most parents drop-off their children by car to the childminder at least some days per week. The proposal limits access when walking is difficult due to schedules or weather.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	Same as above.
Strongly		Strongly		Strongly	The main issues I have are
oppose		oppose		Oppose	as follows.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					1) traffic will be reduced in the school streets, and some people may choose to walk instead of driving, but the majority of parents work and therefore will be unlikely to be able to take a significant hit to their already established schedules. Therefore, instead of choosing to walk to school, they will likely drive to the streets just outside the zones proposed and you will see people jamming up the streets, parking illegally and also making those points much less safe. So, in my view you are simply moving the problem. 2) Teaching children that the roads are safe to walk in and that they don't have to look
					out for vehicles may have
					unintended effects. In the real world, cars are very present and therefore exposing them
					to real world conditions is important for them to learn

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					how to be safe around traffic. 3) The exemption system is likely going to be a nightmare to manage. What about nonroyal mail deliveries? What about taxis who pick children of disabled parents up from their homes (their license plates would differ from day to day)? What about an NHS carer that needs to visit one of their patients? I feel like there are a lot of situations that aren't thought about and it would cause difficulties to properly manage.
					I also in general feel like there could be a much cheaper, easier solution that would improve safety, namely either installing pelican crossings and/or hiring a "lollipop person" to help
					children across the roads.
Strongly oppose	The area highlighted by the plan does not reflect the full coverage of this scheme as it does not take into account	Strongly oppose	This is hypocritical. Proposals for zebra crossings for the area	Strongly Oppose	We normally walk out daughter to school, but as me and my wife both work full

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	roads which require the proposed		have been suggested a		time there are a couple of
	scheme for access purposes. Therefore,		number of times		days in the week that
	taking this into account this scheme		including by the school		grandparents pick my
	affects a much wider area than is		itself and have been		daughter up from school.
	signaled and is grossly misleading. Also		refused due to long wait		Whilst there is proposed
	what happens in the eventually of road		lists and lack of funds.		parking at the wave this is
	closures. In a council meeting in		Yet there is money		still a significant walk from
	November 2024. It was pointed out that		available for this		the school and ours house is
	school street for this area was not		scheme Which will		around a 30 mins walk away.
	practical as these were through roads.		have to include		[REDACTED]
	Exactly what has changed since		signage, ANPR,		
	November 2024 to make these not		permitting and all the		
	through roads? If this was raised in a		admin costs associated		
	council meeting and dismissed as not		with this. Kynaston road		
	workable or practical, then what changes		is currently being used		
	to the road and the infrastructure in the		as an official diversion		
	area has been made since then.		root for example due to		
	Rhetorical question here as no		a road closure. How		
	infrastructure investments have been		Flexible will the ANPR		
	made within Didcot to improve anything		systems be do deal		
	for the residents because all proposals		with situations like this.		
	keep being thrown out. Please tell me		I am guessing they will		
	that this scheme is not being paid for with		not be which will result		
	the garden town budget which is		in a lot of people		
	supposed to be used to improve		getting fined just for		
	infrastructure to support the increased		living near an affected		
	population of the town.		area but not within it		

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
ſ	T	T	Tab 4 b b 4	Π	I
			that have no option but to transit through.		
Strongly oppose	I live on Sinodun Road, the proposed school street is going to cause residents of Sinodun Road to be situated on an island which it is not possible to exit our homes during the hours proposed for school closure hours. I regularly lift-share with colleagues. This is going to force me to drive more regularly as they will not be able to come pick me up.	Strongly oppose			I live on Sinodun Road, the proposed school street is going to cause residents of Sinodun Road to be situated on an island which it is not possible to exit our homes during the hours proposed for school closure hours.
Strongly support	No comments. Zone seems appropriate	Strongly support	The use of ANPR is a technology solution that will push car driver to clone number plates or seek permits with local residents. Double yellow lines and local enforcement could be an alternative	Strongly Support	We are very supportive of the scheme
Strongly support	I think the timings need to shift slightly, starting at 8am is too early, say 8.10 and 2.40	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Partially oppose	I am sure you are already aware of the marooned streets not highlighted and have made plans to include them. Will the camera near the police station allow	Partially oppose	I think there are better systems. It is the poor parking from the parents which is	Partially Oppose	I am concerned that cars will enter and leave down Bridge Close. Cars sometimes drive over the small strip of

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	people to turn right into Kynaston Road heading towards Newlands Avenue? I am concerned there would be a state of confusion and possible danger if people have to turn around on the one-way road to avoid a phone>	Strongly	causing the problem, not the flow of traffic, putting in double yellow and enforcing restrictions would have the same effect but be less disruptive to the general commuter.	Strongly	pavement between Bridge Close and Hagbourne Road as, unlike the other side, there is no barrier in situ.
Strongly oppose	You are restricting a lot of the roads at school times, when people start work at 9am and you will make it impossible to get to school and work on time	oppose	It's an absolute joke to make money out of people	Oppose	I strongly disagree with this decision, of course the people that live there will agree as they don't want cars by their house but it won't affect them, for the people that have to travel to school and go to work on time its a joke and I have only making things more difficult. If this is the case my child will start school after 9am instead!
Strongly oppose	This is going to cause chaos in Didcot at peak times as the closure of these roads will not stop parents driving. It will disperse the traffic out into other roads in Didcot and since most of the parking nearby will be permitted there will be nowhere for parents to park close by. I am not a parent but if I had children I	Strongly oppose	Total waste of money!	Strongly Oppose	I do not believe this is an accident black spot so why inconvenience parents and other road users. Children should know how to use footpaths and how to cross a road safely. Don't wrap them

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	needed to get to school and then make my way to my job I would be very stressed at this proposal. Children also need to learn to be safe around traffic. This proposal will lull them into a false sense of security. Emergency vehicles can still use these streets, but children will look out for these. It is a total unnecessary expense. Not thought through with the residents' parking proposal. Didcot does not have enough additional parking for this to work. I feel for small businesses, included in school streets who will lose income for 2 hours a day. Surely the road areas on edge of school streets will get clogged up where cars will still stop & drop/collect their children.	Strongly	Costly	Strongly Oppose	up in cotton wool by removing traffic. 1.I feel for small businesses included in a school streets who will loose income for 2 hours a day. 2.Surely the road areas on edge of school streets will get clogged up where cars will still stop & drop/collect their children. 3. Costly 4. May worry vulnerable people that they get charged if get it wrong.
Strongly support	If anything, I think I feel it needs to be made bigger to include parts of Wessex	Strongly support	No.	Strongly Support	No.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	Road, High Street and Mereland road				
Strongly support	down to the Broadway. Whilst the area being proposed is rather large, this seems appropriate to reduce the traffic and keep the children safe. At the moment the dangers are too high, especially when all, primary, secondary and nursery children come out at the same time, with cars parked on the road and traffic, including buses, having narrow passages to run through.	Strongly support	The only concern would be whether there would be a requirement to provide evidence of identity of driver, as that would make policing the school street more difficult.	Strongly Support	The road outside the schools at child exit/collection time, is obviously dangerous with cars, buses, and bicycles circulating in both directions and children coming out of school en-mass. People park on the green and at the kerb at The Croft, which besides being unsafe, spoils the grass, especially in winter, when it becomes mud.
Strongly support	It will make sure the children and school staff are safe as I have witnessed lots of vehicles illegally parked or stopped being inconsiderate to other road users.	Strongly support	Nowadays drivers don't seem to follow road signs or restrictions but when an ANPR is in place, I'm sure it would be a deterrent.	Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	Full scheme: No condensation for flow across Didcot and how people that work and contribute tax to the UK can drop and attend work in a timely manner. If the issue is the road outside the school limit it to this area and allow other roads to be used. Review of plan: The plan is also not clear where Mereland rd meets	Partially support	Support but for a reduced layout	Strongly Oppose	Full scheme: No condensation for flow across Didcot and how people that work and contribute tax to the UK can drop and attend work in a timely manner. If the issue is the road outside the school limit it to this area and

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	Kynaston Rd, can you turn right if traveling south. Will it be two ways to turnaround?				allow other roads to be used. Review of plan: the plan is also not clear where Mereland rd meets Kynaston Rd, can you turn right if traveling south. Will it be two way to turnaround?
Partially support	Why cut off so many streets? The only street that is affected is Merland Road	Partially support		Partially Support	What do people do who do not come under exempt but need to drive to school because they live too far away. Park on an available street and walk in rain, cold wind etc.?
Strongly support	We live on Laburnum Grove, which is a cul-de-sac off Mereland Road, so should be included in the proposed scheme.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Partially oppose	I generally support the concept of school streets where other sensible alternative routes are available, however in Didcot, there are already a number of one-way streets and restrictions, combined with the geography of a lack of crossing points of the disused railway/Sustrans route, that make the Queensway-Mereland-Kynaston Rd-Hagbourne Rd-Lower Broadway route a major throughfare and pretty much the only	Strongly oppose	ANPR is too extreme and will create issues even for residents of the exempted streets, including if they change their vehicle, or if they have visitors. An unintended side effect will be to restrict sustainable travel practices such as	Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	reasonable route from the vast majority of streets in south Didcot for any destinations leaving Didcot to the east (eg to Wallingford). The best alternative route will be forcing more traffic via Newlands and Park Rd onto the already heavily congested and dangerous stretches of Upper Broadway, which I believe will put more people at greater risk than current routes past the schools. I disagree because I have many visitors to my house at all times of the day who	Strongly oppose	carpooling as the carpool driver won't have a permit. It makes me very angry to even have this	Overall Strongly Oppose	Again! It's not right because I have ill health! I don't have
	have to take this route by car. I have ill health and this is very detrimental to me.		proposition put forward due to the fact that I already struggle with ill health I already have issues with disrespectful school children. And I also have regular visitors due to my ill health that come during school hours and other hours. I cannot tell the number plates that will be used. And they absolutely must be able to get down the road! I shall		children so why on earth should I suffer

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
				_	
			take this to court if it goes further!		
Strongly oppose	This is not required; a well-placed lollipop person would suffice. Also, Abbott Road does not suffer from any traffic issues during these times. The only issues are for pedestrians as so many of the school people ride bikes and are not too fussy where they ride them. Although clearly if you enforce restrictions at some points this will force traffic elsewhere, which could then affect Abbot Road and other streets. Another factor: what if grandparents or others that need to childmind, visit sick or alderly parents peed to visit during these	Strongly oppose	There is enough big brother out there already, we don't need any more. In addition, there are data privacy concerns.	Strongly Oppose	A well-placed lollipop person would suffice.
	elderly parents need to visit during those times?				
Partially support	I don't think Kynaston Road needs to be included. Traffic should be allowed to flow along Kynaston Road, across Mereland road, through to the one-way section of Kynaston road. The location should be extended to cover both Queensway/Abbott road junctions, to limit parking/traffic congestion where buses need to travel along Queensway.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	No solution is perfect. An annual review is needed to adjust the scheme as needed. It's likely parking outside of the locations will dramatically increase without other parking controls in place (double yellow lines), particularly along Queensway. A park and

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					stride location to the east is needed.
Strongly oppose	Only Mereland road should be included. Expanding this means for me that I will be consistently late to work and to school pick up and I will not be the only person that will be affected like this. I cannot afford to put my children into after school club and breakfast club every day.	Strongly support	ANPR camera should be used but only on Mereland road	Strongly Oppose	No thought has been put into the effect on working parents that have to drive to school. Ot is not a School Street is a network of blockages to prevent working parents from getting to work and then to school for pick up.
Strongly oppose	By implementing the proposed location points, it would push the potential dropoff points further away from the school and cause traffic elsewhere in Didcot. It would not provide the safety required for the pupils.	Strongly oppose	This would cause all the cars to stop outside of these barriers and cause even more traffic elsewhere within Didcot.	Strongly Oppose	I do not agree with this measure and feel there are better ways to make things easier. E.g. one-way streets, more zebra crossing, stop all street parking.
Strongly oppose	I think this is absolutely ludicrous!!!!!! Please explain to me why someone else's kids are my issue??? You shut off a mile radius what if the children are out of that catchment area then? You don't care about polluting them after the first mile? What an absolute joke! ANPR cameras to fine people driving who merely need to pay the bills to feed said children! Or is it alright not to feed them as long as they aren't polluted within the first mile radius. I will be suing for loss of	Strongly oppose	Again stupid idea ill sue for loss of earnings	Strongly Oppose	Spend my taxes on something worthwhile and stop pen pushing fix the roads or we can't pollute the children perhaps invest in more street policing or better still quit your day job if you care that much and be a lolly pop man pronouns dependent of course

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially support	earnings what a stupid idea and a waste of my taxes you have to fix the roads before people can drive on them and pollute the children silly people. I collect my granddaughter. I travel from Radley to do this. I would not see her regularly if I was not able to do this. I am worried that this will prevent me from collecting her.	Partially support	Cutting down on traffic near a school must be a good thing, but this does not take into account exceptional circumstances	Partially Support	
Strongly support	I feel a bit sorry for residents of Sinodun Road, who are not on a school street, but would not be able to leave either end of their road during the school street hours.	Partially support	The plans for collecting ill children are a bit vague - 'you may be issued with a PCN' if you don't give 48 hours notice. Surely a better system can be put in place?	Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	I live down Abbott Close and work. I would need to leave/arrive home within these hours as I work. With Abbott Close being not in this I will not be able to leave my road or be able to get to my road. I will need a permit to allow this! My mum also works from home as therefore her clientele will not be able to get [to her]	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	

Location	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points					
Partially oppose	By restricting the traffic in this area the cars will move to other areas e.g. Oatland Road and Newlands Avenue. Many people already use these roads to drop off their children so they can use the back entrance.	Partially oppose	How are they going to identify people with exemptions?	Strongly Oppose	Many traffic and parking laws are not upheld in Didcot. People Park where they want and drive the speed they want. I'd like to see the current laws addressed before restricting roads.
Strongly oppose	I live on Queensway and my children attend Northbourne school. All the road closures will make my road unsafe as parents will use it to park and residents already park on the road making it hard to get passed in traffic. Also the buses for DGS and SBS use Queensway. Northbourne children will be in more danger walking to school with all the traffic coming this way. I tried to get a crossing put in place when my children went to Willowcroft 5 years ago!! becauseBecausewere so many near misses on Mereland road.	I don't know		Strongly Oppose	It's going to cause absolute chaos in the surrounding streets and people who need to get to work will have to travel further using more fuel killing the environment
Strongly	Yes, I think it is the worst idea on top of	Strongly	Money making scheme.	Strongly	See above Q6
oppose	all the other silly ideas you have.	oppose		Oppose	
	This road is a heavy commuting road among other businesses etc. the company I work for in repairs look after a lot of residents in this area who are				And you will also just turn around and say just walk or use public transport or car share.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
politis					
	vulnerable and need extra support and care which means having to be at their address any time of the day.				Not an option!
	Another point for carers who need to visit these residents to provide the care and support they need 24/7.				
	Also there are a number of childminders in these areas one being for my children and drop off for them is 8am and continue with my work day. Are you going to fund additional care elsewhere?				
	These roads are also used daily by a lot of people as the road structure in Didcot is already a shambles.				
Strongly support	I think this is a good idea. As a resident of Mereland Road the traffic is a hazard to children and residents of this area. There is regular inconsiderate parking and parking on double yellow lines, this causes a hazard to all. I feel that while this is in the proposed stage the parking on the yellow lines should be enforced. Also I can see that school buses are exempt, but they do not adhere to the	Strongly support		Strongly Support	See above

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	20mph speed limit which should also be monitored to provide safety for all those using the area.				
Partially support	When looking at roads affected, did anyone actually bother to look at the Glebe Road restrictions properly? St. Peter's Church is on Glebe Road; we not only have Sunday services, we have funerals, especially, that can take place at any time during the working week, particularly between 10am and 4pm (service times are dependent on funeral director availability, family requirements, priests' availability at times required, and crematoria availability should that also be required). Hearses and funeral cars use Glebe Road, and always have done since the church was built and consecrated in 1977 (it is the most direct route into church for the bearers to carry coffins, which are heavy). Your proposed restrictions would unreasonably restrict the church's duty of pastoral care to all who live in our parish and beyond, whether they worship at our church or not. Glebe Road is not heavily used by parents of Willowcroft pupils - I have	Neither support nor oppose		Partially Support	Essential on Mereland Road - many people are blind to the fact that the speed limit has been reduced to 20mph and speed along Queensway / Mereland Road - the road humps are considered a challenge to be overcome at any cost.

		ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	been at church at your proposed restricted times (they often use our car park, which can cause a problem). SBS				
	pupils usually cycle or walk over our grounds, even though there are footpaths. I suggest, therefore, that you reconsider your restrictions on Glebe Road, and consult properly with those of us who have a "business" on this particular road.				
Strongly support	We live on Sinodun Rd, but are currently not included in the highlighted area (from both junctions of Ridgeway Rd with Sinodun Rd) which implies our address is not exempt. However both ends of our road will be under ANPR restrictions and so we will be unable to leave for work during these hours. Can you please include this section of the road. This is also the case for Abbott Close and Laburnum Grove, where residents are not included in the exemption however it's impossible for them to leave their property without driving past a camera	Strongly support	I think there are a number of people who will need to be registered as exempt, for example 2 childminders run their business on our road and have drop offs at 8. Another example is temporary staff at the school for example, exam invigilators who work part time will need access during the hours but only for a set number of weeks a year.	Strongly Support	My children and I already walk to both schools so would really welcome a safer environment to do so. However both myself and my husband work a few days out of the home and will need to be able to drive out of our road through the restricted area to get to work. With the proposed location, we cannot leave our property without crossing into the restricted area so would recommend extending it further into Sinodun Rd so our vehicle is also exempt.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	attend both schools and we see near misses and dangerous driving almost daily as well as the school buses stuck due to poor parking and something needs to be done to improve this and make it safer for the children attending both schools		consider however still agree it's a good idea if organised well		
Partially oppose	Won't Queensway get choked up with people trying to park? What about on rainy/snowy days when people will want to drive their kids rather than go by bike/walk?	Neither support nor oppose	Would be nice if you could guarantee that exempted cars WOULD NOT get a penalty!	Partially Support	
Partially support	Sinodun Road, which on the plan shows as open during the closure times but we would be unable to actual leave the road without being exempt. Please can you confirm houses down the whole of Sinodun Road would be exempt from the closure.	Strongly support	No	Partially Support	See comment for Q. 6
Strongly oppose	The scheme intention is stated "to close a section of the road outside the school's entrance" for a short time in order to provide a safe, welcoming and attractive environment for children to walk, wheel, or scoot to school. This would be fine around the school entrances on Mereland Road, but this proposal covers a far bigger area, affecting 7 roads. This	Strongly oppose	What will the money raised by the ANPR cameras system and proposed parking permits system, be used for?	Strongly Oppose	Yes, if OCC truly wanted to provide a safe, welcoming and attractive environment for Didcot children, they should consider installing crossing arrangements on busy, congested roads, eg Hagbourne Road (linked lane to Fleet Meadow) and near

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	is ultimately going to cause chaos in the surrounding streets and create a greater safety concern for children walking, cycling, wheeling, scooting to school, from Ladygrove, Fleet Meadow, Brasenose, Great Western Park, etc. That is without factoring in the added problems the permit parking scheme will create. The intended restrictions wil havefar reaching and detrimental consequences for this area of Didcot. The road outside the schools is very tricky to navigate in the mornings for children coming into school. I have heard from children I teach about people driving unsafely outside of school and putting them at risk	Strongly		Strongly Support	the schools on Mereland Road, in particular. Or, if safety really was an important issue to OCC, introduce dedicated school buses. Spending money on unused cycle lanes and random inappropriate 20mph areas is wasteful.
Strongly oppose		Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	This proposal for enforcement and road closures will significantly impact the support given to SEN students that attend the school. A lot of SEN children need support from their parents/carers to access school. With this proposal, I feel this is yet another

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
				ı	
					significant barrier for children
					with SEN or school-based
					anxiety in getting into school. For those children who
					cannot access school without
					support from their parents or
					carer, will not be able to
					access their education if this
					was to come into force. This
					would mean late attendance
					for a lot of children and a
					barrier for parents trying to
					support their children to
					attend whilst also trying to get
					to work on time.
					The road would be better
					suited to have double yellow
					lines with parking
					enforcements in place. The
					access to St Birinus is very
					good but the road outside
					Willowcroft school is very
					congested and therefore with
					parking restrictions and traffic
					management such as a
					lollipop lady or a pedestrian
					crossing would be much
					better suited. This is a main

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	Firstly, what do the residents of Abbott Close and Sinodun Road and Laburnum Grove do? They seem to be unable to get in or out of their homes during the time period. All the surrounding streets, ie Green Close, Green Road, Newlands Avenue etc are going to congested with school pickups and drop off. A solution would be to have parking restrictions such as Edinburgh Drive and Cronshaw Close has as it's the parking that makes it dangerous for children.	Neither support nor oppose	I think that if this does go ahead the homes in the areas that lead to the restricted school street should also have permits, I.e. Green Close Sinodun Road and Abbott Close. If for example someone wanted to go to the shops by Tesco Wallingford Road from Green Close, then they would have to go Green Road, Mowbray, Queensway and, Newlands Avenue then down Broadway. It	Strongly Oppose	road through the town and this road closure at peak times would cause havoc to traffic around the surrounding areas in what is already a traffic chaos area each day with all the new homes being built and lack of infrastructure to suit.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			when we can leave our		
			homes and cause such		
			diversions such as this .		
			Also Queensway will be		
			congested with parents picking up children		
Strongly	The streets surrounding the proposed	Partially	ANPR enforcement is	Partially	I support the principle of
oppose	zone already experience high levels of	oppose	acceptable in principle,	Oppose	improving child safety near
оррозе	on-street parking, causing operational	оррозе	but only if the permit	Oppose	schools, but the current
	flow issues. Introducing the zone will		system is fair and		scheme design creates
	compound this problem by forcing		transparent. Without		serious unintended
	vehicles to avoid entering the zone,		including residents of		consequences for local
	creating congestion and "log jams" on		Sinodun Road,		residents. As a resident of
	these same streets. This increases risks		Laburnum Grove, and		Sinodun Road, I am
	to local residents' property and to		Abbott Close, ANPR		particularly concerned about
	pedestrians, including children walking to		will unfairly penalise		the risk this scheme poses to
	school.		households that have		my family's ability to access
			no alternative route.		our home during the
	Most critically, residents of Sinodun				restriction windows. Both
	Road, Laburnum Grove, and Abbott		Clear guidance on		exits from our street lead into
	Close must be included in the permit		enforcement, appeals,		the restricted zone, and
	scheme. These streets are inside or		and temporary		without a permit or
	directly connected to the restricted zone,		mitigations must be		exemption, we would be
	and without permits, households will be effectively trapped or unfairly sanctioned		published before implementation.		effectively trapped or penalised for essential
	for essential journeys. This is not		implementation.		journeys.
	acceptable and must be addressed				Journeys.
	before implementation.				Please clarify permit eligibility

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	Please also provide metrics on current and projected traffic volumes to demonstrate how these risks have been assessed.				for Sinodun Road, Laburnum Grove, and Abbott Close, and ensure these streets are included in the scheme. Without this, the proposal will cause disproportionate harm to households and essential services.
Partially	Royal Berkshire close should be one of	Partially	My car needs to be	Partially	Royal Berkshire close needs
support	the roads as parents park in the close for the schools when they shouldn't. I walk to school but I do need to drive to work after I have taken my child to school and drive on these roads to get home when I finish work 14:30-14:45	support	exempt as I need to get to work and back home	Support	to be included
Strongly	Laburnum Grove, Abbott Close and a	Strongly	Money would be better	Strongly	I work at one of the schools
oppose	large part of Sinodun Road are unable to leave or enter their property as all exit points are restricted as part of this scheme. The area covers such a large swathe of streets that avoiding it will create gridlock. All of the surrounding streets are narrow and have a significant number of parked cars and traffic calming road humps making detours around the restricted zone extremely challenging, especially given the inevitable increase in traffic closing these	oppose	spent on removing the traffic calming island at the top of Mereland Road, putting in a pedestrian crossing, painting double yellow lines down Mereland Road and enforcing it. As someone who works at the school and lives on one of the affected streets, the problem is	Oppose	walk to work every day but I also need to bring my car to school on occasions to bring heavy equipment or supplies to work. My Street will be isolated with this system so that I cannot leave my house by car or come back during those times as my only access points are on restricted roads. The roads are already 20 miles an hour

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	roads will create. The large number of traffic lights, both permanent and temporary, that are on the Broadway and adjoining roads are already causing significant disruption and delay. All of this will serve to increase the traffic flow to these areas and will make it almost impossible to navigate around Didcot. This scheme will increase congestion, which will increase pollution and potential accidents which is what the restrictions are supposed to avoid.		inconsiderate parent parking not general traffic. All of this could be improved significantly with simpler solutions than inconveniencing a large portion of Didcot by shutting the roads. Parents will still park as close as they can, which is just pushing the problem slightly away from the school but not removing it. If you start dishing out traffic fines the situation will improve and you won't be making more peoples lives more challenging.		with numerous traffic humps and traffic calming ideas. Parents will just drop their children off earlier and will pick them up later to avoid being penalised or parents will park further away creaking more congestion in other parts of Didcot. I do not believe that this scheme will encourage many more people to cycle or walk their children to school as those that can already do and those that work and have limited time do not, cannot and will not!
Strongly support	I think it's a great idea, many parents drive to school and speed around the school during drop-off and pick up and the parking on the street causing blockages and poor visibility when trying to cross the road!	Strongly support	I think it's a brilliant idea	Strongly Support	Brilliant idea to keep the children safe, long overdue

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	Traffic will increase greatly, as the route to east Didcot will be complete cut off. Parked cars will just disperse further away causing a nuisance elsewhere. Northbourne also leaves at a similar time, there's chaos surrounding the Cockroft shops. Double yellow lines on roads immediately next to Willowcroft, St Birinus and Northbourne would make for a much safer road,	Strongly oppose	The proposal seems to be a money-making exercise.	Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	I drive to work between the hours of 0800-0900 from where I live if these restrictions are in place it will be a pain to get out of Didcot and get to work, total and utter waste of money and time do the streets and road repairs first then think about this scheme but again stopping people from getting to and from work, I sometimes drop my child at Willowcroft in the car and go straight to work or off to a meeting, my parents who are in there 80s also do the pickup and drop off when I or my partner can't so they use a car to drive to the school this will stop them doing that also. Think this needs to be readdressed as this road is	Strongly oppose	Again why this will cause so much hassle and inconvenience to many who drive this way to go to work total waste of money and resources	Strongly Oppose	Again why is this coming into force if people can't see where a car is coming from or cross the road then there is something wrong with them total waste of resources again

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	1.				
	busy with traffic going to other schools in the area also and we don't all have the luxury of walking to and from school if we are going off to work for a 9am meeting and can drop off on the way through.				
Partially support	There are certain roads that would be blocked or couldn't get out without getting a fine/caught on ANPR. We live on Mereland Road at the junction with Kynaston and could only turn right onto Kynaston. I also have concerns about the number of cars that would park illegally on both Mereland Road and Kynaston. It's only moving the problem further away from the school. Our road is already narrow and the speed at which cars come down this is alarming.	Partially support	As above, it severely limits our route out of the top part of Mereland Road during the School Street Hours.	Partially Support	
Strongly oppose	Schools needs a lollipop man not a full streets closure. Especially for families who live more than 2 miles away and need to drive children to school and then rush to work afterwards. Some parents are single parents with no help or support.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	I feel a good zebra crossing should be put in place before such extreme action taken	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	I feel a good zebra crossing should be put in place and enforcement of parking on

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose Strongly support	It will push all the traffic into the small side roads.	Strongly oppose Strongly support	No	Partially Oppose Strongly Support	yellow lines before such extreme action taken. I think making the street quieter may also lead to an assumption from children there are no cars so they can not pay attention when in reality a lot of residents will still be zooming around. Why not put in a Zebra crossing The safety of children and young people attending school has to be paramount as the current situation is
Strongly oppose	Closing the street will prevent me from driving to work. I will have to drive out of Didcot to the west, driving past other schools instead, then loop back through the centre of town. This is more driving, crazy! You would be better to encourage parents to walk / cycle their children to school rather than entirely closing streets for people who need to drive to work. You should have consulted local	Strongly oppose	This simply seems like an exercise to get more revenue rather than improve the traffic situation	Strongly Oppose	going to end with a child/ren being hit by a car it is when not an if! see above - it affects more than just school parents. I'm not driving to the school, but past it for work as there's no other way out from the housing estate to leave Didcot East

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	residents more openly as this affects				T
	them too, not just parents.				
Strongly oppose	This will cause major traffic issues around the outside of the schemes. For example, the Broadway/Hitchcock Way is already bad enough without all this added traffic being forced. It will also create new 'rat runs' and increase journey times congestion & pollution elsewhere.	Strongly oppose	All this money being spent on ANPR, when our roads around Didcot need major work. Use our tax payers money on things that we urgently need!	Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	Please share how many instances of accidents involving cars with students leaving at this specific times also share the supposed pollution levels. Any restriction should only be the road outside the school the area is too big and negatively impacts the wider community	Strongly oppose	Oppressive , fines do not prevent accidents or supposedly save the planet from pollution	Strongly Oppose	Think is something else
Strongly oppose	I'm appalled and concerned to learn there are proposals for a school street to be Kynaston road and surrounding roads. opened up on Mereland road and Kynaston road and surrounding roads. I am working with no kids and I drive that way to work every single day. It is the quickest and most efficient route to get to work that avoids traffic on the	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	Do you hate working class people?

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	high street and means I don't have to go via Cholsey to get to work.				
	My commute when the schools are out is 20 minutes and when they're in session it is 30 minutes to go this way. To introduce a school street between the hours of 8am and 9am (literally do not care about the afternoon as I'm at work till 5pm) is ridiculous and will make my 20-30 minute commute a 40 minute to an hour's commute.				
	I will have to go down Park Road, get stuck in the high street traffic and traffic lights in the morning to do a basic journey I have to do every day to pay my bills and subsequent council tax. I will have to wake up earlier every day when I am already absolutely exhausted from working 40 hours a week at a job I hate to then take a longer route and spend more time in my car commuting to work.				
	You might be like 'oh just get another job' the job market is [redacted] at the moment with redundancies across the many sectors and companies. Finding				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	another job in this economy is not an option.				
	These proposals will direct even more traffic to the high street at morning rush hour. Making traffic worse. Thus making air pollution worse as well.				
	It's such a poorly thought-out proposal that affects the whole of South Didcot as there are no other roads. The Abbott's road junction is literally right next to where I leave my house in the morning and will not be able to drive that way to do my job because I do not live on any of the suggested roads.				
	In terms of safety the roads are already 20 mph. There is a give way on Mereland road and the traffic is slow enough to allow people to cross without issue. Perhaps a zebra crossing would be an idea by the school as there are already speed bumps.				
	This is a stupid proposal that doesn't appreciate how many people actually use this road to commute to work in the				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	morning and we do not need more obstructions on the working class.				
Strongly support	Sinodun Road should be added. The current proposal means they have no alternative route during the school run period	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	The proposed location seems to cover a wide area, but there are roads that are trapped - for example half of Sinodun Road has no exit other than through the restriction. There are a number of businesses that are located in the zone who will be affected. Users of the leisure centre and Wave will also be affected. The location covers a number of roads, such as Kynaston, which are used by people not travelling to the schools, but who will now be forced onto the already overcrowded Broadway at peak times - Broadway will not be able to cope with the increased traffic. Removing the chicane and building zebra crossings would be far more useful. I also have concerns, as a apparent of a child with disabilities, that parents of children will not be able to pick them up without a penalty if the child is ill or has	Strongly oppose	ANPR cameras are not needed and raise issues of intrusion on people by surveillance. If the ANPR is managed by a third party I have concerns over their use and storage of the data. ANPR will not have any effect on bikes etc. and can be unreliable. Instances of vehicle cloning are increasing and the DVLA are slow to deal with these. This has the feel of a cop out and a money grab. It is a stick rather than a carrot, and a disappointing response to long sought	Strongly Oppose	It's the wrong answer to the problem. Just put a crossing on the road and stop annoying the people who live and use the area.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	an emergency during restricted time. It also concerns me that disabled parents might also be so affected. I also am concerned staff at the schools will be penalised if they are late for any reason. There are support staff who's arrival and departure from school falls into the restricted times.		adequate pedestrian crossings on these roads. The real issue is volume of vulnerable people with no infrastructure to support them.		
			I have concerns over the long-term costs of installing, running and maintaining the cameras. They are likely to be a target for vandals. I cannot believe the cost is, in the long run, cheaper than crossings. I am of course not blind to the appeal of ANPR as a revenue source, but feel this approach is morally bankrupt.		
Strongly oppose	As a homeowner living in Sinodun Road, I strongly disagree with the proposed location points as I would be unable to	Strongly oppose	I feel it is unnecessary	Strongly Oppose	I believe that the children are a danger to themselves as I have seen them running across roads, doing wheelies

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly support Partially support	enter or exit my own road during the set times We need to keep the children safe before something really bad happens. There have been far too many near misses. Abbott Close is a cul-de-sac with only one exit onto Abbott Road, this appears to be excluded from the school street scheme. 1. As so close to the entrance to St Birinus in Abbott Road there is a high risk of people parking in Abbott Close causing congestion to residents trying to leave the cul-de-sac; 2, Will residents of Abbott Close be exempt from penalty charges as we have no option but to go via Abbott road, Glebe Road or Mereland Road to get to main roads to our places or work etc.	Strongly support Partially oppose	[Will] residents of Abbott Close be exempt from penalty charges as have no option but to go via Abbot Road, Glebe Road or Mereland Road to get to main roads to places or work etc. With the cost-of-living draining peoples finances a large fine seems harsh	Strongly Support	on bikes and speeding on scooters. The slow-moving traffic isn't the danger! In principle I don't disagree, but I don't think enough consideration has been given to residents in Abbott Close and risk of excess parking in an already over parked culde-sac. I appreciate safety for school children is a priority, and something needs putting place but high fines in the current financial climate seems shortsighted
Partially oppose	I agree in general with the idea of school streets, but I am concerned that this will move the traffic problem further round Queensway. The situation outside Northbourne is already complicated, with lots of cars trying to access a narrow road. I think Northbourne School and the shops opposite it should at least be	Partially support		Neither Support Nor Oppose	See my comments above

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially oppose	consulted, and the school streets process should consider the whole of Queensway rather than 2 isolated schools. The ban area is too big; it would force more cars to go around nearby areas that could cause congestion and potential accidents as there are another	Neither support nor oppose		Partially Oppose	
Partially support	school (northbound primary school) as well	Neither support nor oppose		Strongly Support	
Partially oppose	The proposed road restrictions appear to funnel vehicles toward Northbourne Primary School. Has this been considered. The roads near these schools are always extremely congested some time before school entry and exit hours, will this traffic not be simply forced on different roads making these areas unsafe. As a resident within the proposed area will I be required to apply to be able to access my own home?	Partially support		Partially Support	Who benefits from the money collected from fines. What will it be used for. What happens if parents/carers decide to arrive before the assigned times and simply wait until restrictions have expired, the roads will be just as congested with cars all potentially departing at the same time.
Strongly oppose	I think it's a daft idea There's too many streets involved.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	I think zebra crossings and double yellow lines outside

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					both schools and traffic wardens on duty during drop off and pick up times would be more beneficial
Strongly oppose	I live in Sinodun Road and looking at the proposed exclusion zone, I would not be able to access my property or leave without going into the exclusion zone. My address has not been highlighted on your plan which would mean that ANPR would enforce a penalty notice. Please can you advise on how to overcome this?	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	Stupid idea, penalising local and older age pensioners who rely on cars and relatives for support.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	As a local resident this would impair my ability to commute in and around the town. Additionally, all this proposal would cause is further parking frustration for the surrounding streets and areas, including Queensway, Green Road and Green Close. Areas which are already busy from the outpouring traffic of the schools. This proposal feels rushed and ill	Strongly oppose	Same as above.	Strongly Oppose	Same as above.
	considered. There is no support for this plan, and the impact it would have for the local community.				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially support	Instead focus on creating more effective infrastructure, public transport options for families of the school and less on blocking out half of the town. Adjoining streets not able to be accessed by any other roads than the School Street roads should be part of the scheme. For example, Abbott Close, Laburnum Grove, Sinodun Road etc.	Partially support	They are not without fault; this must be taken into consideration when fines are challenged.	Partially Support	Any new scheme is going to take time for people to adjust. This MUST NOT turn into a money-making scheme for the council. Fines must be handed out as a LAST RESORT and leniency must be applied. It must be easy for people to challenge fines and perhaps even a warning given before a fine - much like the points system on driving licenses for driving infractions.
Strongly oppose	I live at Sinodun Rd, am self-employed and looking after [REDACTED] is going to be dropped off at my home by his parent during the proposed morning period of closure. They are travelling to my address from Oxford and the parent would then travel on to the place of work straight afterwards. Timings can be variable due to weather, traffic etc and	Strongly oppose	I think this is simply a fundraising exercise by the Council to gain additional revenue.	Strongly Oppose	I think the planning team need to visit the area to see how it will impact residents and look carefully at Sinodun Rd in particular and how it would affect people living there.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	also the [REDACTED] needs so we would need some flexibility on arrival timing at my home.				
	Homeowners in Sinodun Rd will effectively be locked in during the proposed periods of road closure and I don't see how the baby can be dropped off with me without the parent being financially penalised by driving in/out of the area or without me having to meet them streets away to bring the child to my home with all the kit required, on foot, which in the winter in particular would be a nightmare! In addition, we would not be able to receive deliveries during these periods and as non-permit holders we would effectively be 'locked in' to restricted movement which we find unacceptable. We also feel it could impact on the value of our home as it is a potential future barrier to purchase. I don't think this has been well thought out for Sinodun Rd residents and essential journeys to/from it by residents and in my case the parents of my charge. This system would penalise myself and the parents.				

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			I	T	I
	I believe money would be better spent on safety programmes for children to understand they need to be road aware, wear a helmet, understand road rules etc. I also feel it simply shifts the problem of parent parking further away into other streets, to drop off and collect their children. This could be better policed. In London schemes like this residents always have at least one entry/exit route they can use around any road closures + but Sinodun Rd would not have this. They would also be factored into permits but it still wouldn't address the exception highlighted above.				
Strongly oppose	But introducing this policy you would be forcing me to travel along Park Road and Queensway. These roads are already busy with traffic, and parked cars. All you are doing is shoving the traffic on to another area. Parents will also park further up from the school is green close and green road. The reckless selfish behaviour on Mereland Road is bad enough. Also, if I'm expecting visitors, deliveries and tradesman's they are all	Strongly oppose	Just what I have mentioned before	Strongly Oppose	As before

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	going to be inconvenienced by this. Why should we be put out because of the appalling behaviour of the parents and students of the school. The proposed locations make a reasonable amount of sense, but I draw your attention to the following considerations: 1. Sinodun Road (part of), Bridge Close, Abbot Close and Larburnum Grove are all surrounded by school streets but not included as school streets. The inference here is that vehicles registered on those roads will not be exempt but must either incur a penalty or wait to leave/ enter their roads outside the indicated times? 2. The school streets ends near, but does not include the Northbourne C of E Primary School. There is a risk that	Strongly support	I would like to understand who stores and where ANPR data is stored, who has access, the information security standards used to protect the data and that the information is not used by private companies or sold/ passed to third party organisations without my express consent.	Partially Support	Given the social aims of this project it would be good to understood who is profiting financially from it's implementation and if possible skew any procurement to avoid contractors profiteering. I would also like an assurance, at no point from initial implementation or beyond will this require residents to pay to park in the school streets zone. As I understand it, there will
	additional vehicular hazards, additional traffic and congestion occur in around the school at Cockroft Road (an already busy area).				also be changes to roads south of Broadway up to Kynaston that will be parking permit. Could you either stagger the implementation of
	3. There are a number of junctions highlighted as school streets that will				the two programmes and/or ensure due consideration is

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	need careful signage to avoid u-turning vehicles as there is no exit to avoid the school streets zones as shown on drg OX/SSE/010 v1. Examples include: 3.1 vehicles travelling east on Queensay toward Abbot Rd/ Green Cl/ Mereland Rd. 3.2 vehicles travelling south on Mereland Rd toward Kynaston Road 3.3 vehicles travelling south on Lynmouth Rd toward Glebe Road 4. Vehicles attempting to travel west			Overall	given to implementing the two changes simultaneously. Given similar programmes have been implemented for other Oxfordshire schools, is it possible to share what learning / feedback has come from these and how that learning has been used in creating this intervention. Roads immediately outside
Strongly	along Kynaston Rd from the Mereland Rd junction cannot do so and this is a one-way section so they cannot get to their residences, and the school street covers the junction. I think that the money needed for this	Strongly	waste of funds	Strongly	the school streets zone may require additional parking controls / double-yellow lines to ensure additional hazards are not created from high numbers of park and stride/drop-offs. complete waste of money
oppose	scheme is needed more urgently elsewhere, this scheme will only move traffic to other roads causing problems for longer periods because pickups and drop off will take longer	oppose		Oppose	that will only move and prolong the problem

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly support	I think something should've been done earlier like a traffic warden ticketing all the illegally parked cars	Partially support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	Its just going to move traffic onto other nearby roads and make those congested instead.	Partially oppose		Strongly Oppose	You seem to be under the illusion that all the boys who go to St Birinus live locally and can therefore walk to/from school. My son does not. We live near [redacted] and we drive to school. The fees for a bus pass are now £900 per child and as I have more than 1 child I can't afford to pay £1800 just to use the bus. I don't pay nearly that in milage to do the school runs. This is a ridiculous scheme without much thought put into it there is no thought put in place for mitigation for those who don't live near the school, nor can afford to pay the bus fees. Why is there no proper earmarked drop off location which doesn't congest the roads, nor more effort put in place to

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose Strongly oppose	Would be far cheaper to put up zebra crossings I live in Lynmouth Road which isn't in the proposed restricted area, but at the south end of Lynmouth road where it enters Glebe road there are restrictions, however it is very close to a school entrance. It is already used by many cars to drop children off and if the proposed restrictions go ahead Lynmouth road will be one of the closest drop off point so will see even more traffic entering our road, but now unable to exit without doing a 3 point turn causing complete chaos, and increasing the chance of school children being injured as a lot of them walk down Lynmouth Road.	Strongly oppose Strongly oppose	In your FAQ you say if a car enters the street before the restricted time starts and exits within the restricted time it wont get a Penalty. Is the Council that short sighted that they don't realise lots of people will try and turn up 10-15mins before the restricted times start causing more congestion, more pollution and a greater risk of an accident.	Strongly Oppose Strongly Oppose	subsidies the buses. You want more people to use the buses but no effort is put in place to make it easier or more cost effective. 1. What if people want to car share (to reduce pollution and congestion) to get to work (between 8:00 and 9:00) and live in the restricted area, are the people that would give them a lift allowed to enter the area? 2. What about deliveries such as groceries, appliances, skips, building supplies, amazon vans. You can't always specify a time or know when they turn up. 3. I can see people parking in St. Peter's Church car park because of the restrictions causing problems for the Church, such as Funerals. 4. If Lynmouth Road isn't

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially support	I live on Mereland road, and it makes sense to have measures introduced here. I say partially rather than strongly support as I do not know the other streets and their traffic conditions well enough. My main concern is pushing traffic problems elsewhere - which routes people would be forced on to avoid these areas. E.g. I would typically go via Kynaston and New Road to go to East Hagbourne. I would be exempt as a resident of the area affected but those on Queensway may be forced to go via Park Road or otherwise join Broadway and then New Road. So I'm generally in favour but there needs to be careful thought on repercussions, or otherwise a trial period and then improvement to junctions in the busier areas etc.	Partially support	My concern would be for people visiting residents in the area - would I be able to add exemptions for relatives to visit for example? I take it food delivery vehicles would be exempt (Tesco, deliveroo etc).	Partially Support	then at certain times of the day we can only exit at one end of the street, the road is narrow and with parked cars only one car can drive down at a time, so it will cause more congestion. As per no. 6
Strongly oppose	So the poor residents of Sinodun Road, Laburnum Grove and Abbot Close are	Strongly support	Whatever solution you propose you need to	Neither Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	not allowed out of their roads during the closures?? And you have closed the top part of Sinodun Road but not mentioned itAnd all it will do is push traffic onto the other roadsWhy not just make the roads		enforce it so ANPR cameras are a must	Nor Oppose	
Partially support	no waiting/parking during those hours? I think it only needs to be Mereland Road that is included. A better idea would be to stop parking on that road (double yellows) and have a crossing of some description instead. This would be much simpler and less disruptive to residents	Strongly oppose	Would need to be very well signposted. I think it will be too confusing to be honest	Partially Support	I think it only needs to be Mereland Road that is included. A better idea would be to stop parking on that road (double yellows) and have a crossing of some description instead. This would be much simpler and less disruptive to residents
Strongly oppose	I live on Lynmouth Road and these restrictions will move the problems to our road and others near it. Driveways could be blocked and we already have delivery vans using private driveways to turn around in. This will intensify. Newlands Avenue will be much busier and therefore will make it more dangerous to cross which will affect many more children than just those attending St Birinus and Willowcroft. Children will start to think those roads are always car free and thus use less road	Neither support nor oppose	See above	Strongly Oppose	It will only move a problem not solve it

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	sense rather than more. Having visitors or going in or out with other people would be trickier with having to take more convoluted routes to avoid closures.				
Partially oppose	I feel this will cause disruption to the area for residents and anyone wishing to avoid traffic elsewhere in the town/area	Strongly oppose	As a full Road Tax payer this is u fair for anyone wishing to pass during g these times!	Strongly Oppose	Will I get a refund on my road tax?
Strongly oppose	I feel this will heavily impact the flow of traffic in and around Didcot. Putting time limits on these roads will backlog, an already busy, Broadway. I feel it will impact commuters to/from work. I understand smaller roads, but these are significant roads for this side of Didcot.	Strongly oppose	I feel those who commute to/from work and those who collect colleagues from around these areas will be heavily penalised. My partner works for the estate agents and sometimes has work starting at 8:30 around these areas for photography of houses - will be be penalised for this? I don't think it's fair for those who use these roads as a cut through/their commute to work.	Strongly Oppose	Will we get road tax rebate for this? Why are we paying for roads we are limited to use!

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly support	I fully support this; however I am concerned that it will encourage parents to block up other nearby roads that are exempt.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	Many of our TAs finish work at 3.00 or 3.10pm, will they be OK to drive out of school and down these roads without getting fined.
Strongly support	No fully support to ensure children can get to school safely	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly support	As a pedestrian and occasional driver I feel this will make the area so much safer for everyone that accesses both schools.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	I believe this proposal will just move the issue onto other streets. Parents still need to drop children to school and this will not stop them doing so, it will simply make them park slightly further away. Some children may only cross a road at school. By removing all cars it may condition children that roads are safe, meaning their ability to learn the looking skill could be hindered. We are looking to move to the area (just made an offer on a house) and here are my concerns: What about if you need to get a taxi home?	Strongly oppose	I believe this proposal will just move the issue onto other streets. Parents still need to drop children to school and this will not stop them doing so, it will simply make them park slightly further away. Some children may only cross a road at school. By removing all cars it may condition children that roads are safe, meaning their ability to learn the looking skill could be	Strongly Oppose	I believe this proposal will just move the issue onto other streets. Parents still need to drop children to school and this will not stop them doing so, it will simply make them park slightly further away. Some children may only cross a road at school. By removing all cars it may condition children that roads are safe, meaning their ability to learn the looking skill could be hindered. We are looking to move to the area (just made an offer

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			Tree is a		T
	VA/In a to a la a vatificación la avia a a coma a masa del cat		hindered.		on a house) and here are my
	What about if you have a supermarket		Me are leaking to make		concerns:
	delivery slot during those times?		We are looking to move		\A/lb at all and if you had all to not
	Mhat hannana ta daliyarina frana nlagas		to the area (just made		What about if you need to get
	What happens to deliveries from places		an offer on a house)		a taxi home?
	like Amazon?		and here are my		NAME of the section of the section of
	Mhat bannana if I need to be drawned		concerns:		What about if you have a
	What happens if I need to be dropped		What about if you pood		supermarket delivery slot
	home in another car (blue badge		What about if you need		during those times?
	holder)?		to get a taxi home?		NA/le et le europe de la livre vie e
	My [DEDACTED] receives equacil acheel		What about if you have		What happens to deliveries
	My [REDACTED] receives council school transport to Willowcroft, and everyday it's		What about if you have		from places like Amazon?
	a different driver and vehicle. How will		a supermarket delivery		Mark because if I would to be
	that work?		slot during those times?		What happens if I need to be
	that work?		What happens to		dropped home in another car
	What happens if we have a friend visiting		What happens to deliveries from places		(blue badge holder)?
	What happens if we have a friend visiting during the designated times?		like Amazon?		Mar Falailail na animan na maril
	during the designated times!		like Alliazoli!		My [child] receives council
	What happens about carers, because		What happens if I need		school transport to [school],
	people don't always have the same one		to be dropped home in		and everyday it's a different driver and vehicle. How will
	in the same car?		another car (blue		
	in the same car:		badge holder)?		that work?
	What happens for occupational therapy		badge floider):		What happens if we have a
	and similar service visits? Builders?				What happens if we have a
	Handymen? Mechanics? Those visits are		What happens if we		friend visiting during the
	done in the worker's own car.		have a friend visiting		designated times?
	dolle ili tile worker 5 owii car.		during the designated		What happens should says
			during the designated		What happens about carers,

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	I fully reject this suggestion for the above		times?		because people don't always
	reasons. I believe it will become a				have the same one in the
	logistical nightmare for the appeals team		What happens about		same car?
	if the council choose to do this to such a		carers, because people		
	major main road. It will also be a		don't always have the		What happens for
	nightmare for anyone who lives in the		same one in the same		occupational therapy and
	area who has visitors or deliveries.		car?		similar service visits?
					Builders? Handymen?
	I don't believe the positives outweigh the		What happens for		Mechanics? Those visits are
	negatives that will be imposed on		occupational therapy		done in the worker's own car.
	residents.		and similar service		
			visits? Builders?		I fully reject this suggestion
			Handymen?		for the above reasons. I
			Mechanics? Those		believe it will become a
			visits are done in the		logistical nightmare for the
			worker's own car.		appeals team if the council
					choose to do this to such a
			I fully reject this		major main road. It will also
			suggestion for the		be a nightmare for anyone
			above reasons. I		who lives in the area who has
			believe it will become a		visitors or deliveries.
			logistical nightmare for		
			the appeals team if the		I don't believe the positives
			council choose to do		outweigh the negatives that
			this to such a major		will be imposed on residents.
			main road. It will also		
			be a nightmare for		
			anyone who lives in the		

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			area who has visitors or deliveries.		
			I don't believe the positives outweigh the negatives that will be imposed on residents.		
Strongly support	They are situated well and will make a real difference to the safety of the children from both schools.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	The road has been unsafe for years. Cars speed along and parking is dangerous. Children and families are unable to cross the road safety and this will be a game changer for children who walk to school alone.
Strongly oppose	Surely the restriction should be no parking at these times on the road directly outside the schools instead of all the surrounding houses	Strongly oppose	I use vehicles that are not registered to my property at that time of the day that enter and leave my street. Having ANPR on the street will cause unnecessary fines and paperwork. Also I don't see how this will reduce traffic but will move the problems to other	Strongly Oppose	I don't see what this is going to improve apart from rewarding the council with money when fines are made.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly	It will cost a lot of money for the Council to implement & manage and will only push the traffic to other roads around the area, parents will not let or want their children walking to school! If we only could offer better transport options like free school buses like the good old days, that brought children to the schools safely then perhaps so many people would not feel the need to drive.	Strongly	roads. Lastly the traffic congestion around Didcot is bad enough and this will only be moving traffic congestion to the busy roads even more. These systems are often very unreliable & will cause a lot of anxiety for people in the areawhat if you are visiting someone in the area at that time and do not know about the scheme!	Strongly Oppose	Waste of time, money & effort! Just push the traffic problem to other roads around the schools!
Strongly oppose	There are 4 roads where residents would be unable to go to or from their homes by car during these times without paying a fine as they are not part of the scheme but cannot leave their road without crossing the scheme roads	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	It will not solve anything. It will cause chaos over the whole of the North Bourne area in Didcot as a major through road will be blocked during peak commuting time and school parents will just find other roads to park on to drop children off causing exactly the same issues in multiple other locations

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
pomic					
Partially oppose	I live on Richmere Road and currently in Oxford. In the morning different colleagues of mine pick me up every Monday-Friday morning. I believe it is a good idea to create this safe space for students however it will have an effect on my day-to-day life as they won't be able to pick me up from outside my house like they have done for the past few years.	Strongly oppose		Partially Oppose	
Partially support	Why so many roads? Why not just Kynaston road to abouts road. How is bottom of Sindon road getting out. There was known problem when the primary school was finishing at 3:00pm now you have 3 school coming out at the same time,.	Partially support	No	Strongly Support	No
Strongly oppose		Partially oppose		Strongly Oppose	[Re:] community responders for emergency care. What would they do if they got called out in these areas at these times, they wouldn't be able to give vital attention to people that need assistance.
Strongly oppose	I travel via Mereland road and the Croft to get to work, I would have to take a	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	detour via the main town putting a extra few miles and time on my trip to work				
Strongly oppose	Shutting roads is ridiculous. Make it residents only and put double yellows in other areas (The Croft) to stop parking on green areas. With this closure it will restrict deliveries and people getting lifts to /from work if needed as well as having any visitors/work done.	Strongly oppose	Don't like the idea of being tracked going to and from my house!!	Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	This will only cause congestion further afield from the proposed roads. It'll only move the problem. I live here and have a right to come and go as I please from my house, not to be dictated as to when I can and can't.	Strongly oppose	Spend money elsewhere, which is more urgently needed.	Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	It will just create further backlogs in the wider area, especially leading towards Didcot Broadway which is already busy at peak times	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	I go to and from my address weekly in different vehicles and my son goes to the house, so ANPR would not be a good thing.	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	I live on Richmere Road, when I go to my house in the day, I don't find traffic that bad. [redacted – account of a homeowner blocking road with traffic cones]
Strongly oppose	I do understand the safety concerns, but my work hours are very tight and I won't	Neither support		Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	he able to drap or pick up my pupil from	nor	I	1	I
	be able to drop or pick up my pupil from school in this circumstance's. Our home is located 45 up to hour walk from Willowcroft and looking for parking space around town will be difficult in such a busy hours anyway. All together this will make it impossible for me to be on time.	oppose			
Partially support	Instead of making it no access, if Mereland road was all one way permanently It would make it safer	Strongly oppose		Partially Oppose	
Strongly support	No	Strongly support	Must be exceptions for disabled access and emergency access for parents	Strongly Support	No
Strongly oppose	I have to leave work in Witney for school pick up and continue working from home from 3.30 which leaves an extremely tight window to walk a distance from the school. There are much better ways of dealing with this than moving all the traffic towards other roads and schools	Strongly oppose	Absolutely ridiculous	Strongly Oppose	Try other solutions before full road closures. I live within walking distance of the school but it's not the distance that is a problem, it is timings with working hours and commuting. Maybe look at letting the older years walk home, especially with older siblings so there aren't as many pickups required

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly support	I would be happy if it was reduced down further the amount of streets covered. Kynaston road could be exempted as it also could be from the leisure centre roundabout around to Queensway. Mereland road definitely needs to be covered to be most effective.	Strongly support	Make it easy for residents who get mistakenly flagged to rectify any fine.	Strongly Support	Enforcement will be needed by people as lots of car drivers regularly break driving rules around the school. I would support fines being issued for improper parking in and around the area (this doesn't happen at the moment).
Partially support	My only concern is living on Kynaston road it may just move the problem over therefore being no safer for my children	Partially support		Partially Support	moment).
Strongly support	No, I am looking forward for our children to walk to/from school safe.	Strongly support	No	Strongly Support	No
Strongly support	I travel daily to and from work, the traffic is very chaotic, parents park their cars on both sides of the road or just in the middle of the road to let their children out of their cars causing all vehicles to be at a standstill. It is highly dangerous for the students to drop off/pick up. The road is not visible for students to cross safely, and we have had a child who was run down by a car. It is an accident waiting to happen.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly support		Strongly support		Strongly Support	The children at both schools have had numerous near misses with the traffic. There

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					is a serious accident waiting to happen.
Strongly oppose	The Council have approved an enormous amount of new housing in and around Didcot over the past few years, increasing the population and subsequent traffic with little or no consideration to the infrastructure. And now it reaches a tipping point, they are trying to impose this ridiculous idea. All it will lead to is increased traffic in other areas around the schools. You will probably still go ahead with it anyway, so I'm sure I'm wasting my time writing this but whoever thought of it is a fool. Trial it for a week and see what happens. Stupid idea.	Strongly oppose	They should not be used. We pay road tax to use the roads. Let us use them. You should be making life easier for people not coming up with more stupid ideas like this	Strongly Oppose	
Partially support	I only think Mereland Road should be controlled as that is where traffic is an issue and where most of the children leave the schools. As far as I am aware, all of the accidents involving school children have occurred on Mereland Road.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	I live in Abbott Close Didcot not on your list	Neither support nor oppose		Neither Support Nor Oppose	

Location	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points					
Strongly support	Agree that something needs to be done in busy times, as it is dangerous in the street outside our house (opposite the school)	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	It will cut access from the south side of Didcot to the east side and beyond at a time when it might be required. Also the general state of traffic along the town centre (Broadway and Station road) make those routes unusable. Proposed school street also cuts off businesses on Croft and ridgeway road.	Strongly oppose	Disgusting idea to raise revenue. People are cash strapped enough and more draconian measures will not put the town council or ANPR systems in good light. Don't do it. This will result in confusion and fines for non-resident but regular users of those roads. E.g. OAPs and nearby residents who might need to go into the area due to traffic or road issues nearby.	Strongly Oppose	Bad idea. Especially if backed up by fines and criminalisation of innocent people.
Partially support	My only reason for partial is my mother also does school pick and she can manage at the moment because she parks near and doesn't have to walk far. This might make it difficult for her to do pickups if she had to park further away.	Partially support		Partially Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	She doesn't qualify for a blue badge but is a pensioner with mobility issues.				
Strongly oppose	I feel that additional traffic controls are unnecessary and negatively impact parents and carers who have to sometimes deal with unexpected incidents - and drop children at school directly. They also curtail flexibility for parents and carers who require assistance to get their children to school. Admittedly, there is some congestion in the morning, but restricting access and spending essential funds on yet more cctv and monitoring is unnecessary.	Strongly oppose	ANPR is an unnecessary, draconian and expensive addition. I completely oppose any additional monitoring of people and their movements.	Strongly Oppose	I feel that additional traffic controls are unnecessary and negatively impact parents and carers who have to sometimes deal with unexpected incidents - and drop children at school directly. They also curtail flexibility for parents and carers who require assistance to get their children to school. Admittedly, there is some congestion in the morning, but restricting access and spending essential funds on yet more cctv and monitoring is unnecessary.
Partially support	It's not fair on the pupils who live far away and they have to be dropped off, what about bullying if a child needs to be	Partially oppose	It's not fair on the pupils that live far away who might be running late	Partially Support	I think in theory it's a great idea, but schools are so oversubscribed that children
	picked up promptly due to others? What about certain lessons like cooking because if a child is coming by bike or walking it's difficult to transport cooking ingredients safely after the lessons.		due to traffic etcand need to be dropped off outside school quickly but before the time zones allow		have to be driven in from miles away due to safety reasons, riding a bike isn't safe due to traffic, weather, darkness, bus is expensive

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					and if a parent is going the same way it makes sense to car share and drive their child to school, what would be better would be to provide a safer drop off space or a rolling car pool like the Americans do or provide a free bus to collect the children along local routes, yellow line all along outside st birinus and willow croft to stop people from blocking up the roads, take away the give way in the middle and let it be a two way road, add a crossing so the children can cross safely.
Strongly support	The roads around the schools have become very dangerous for young children.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Partially oppose	It's far too large a range, if some people have to drive, where would they park? Surely it will increase cars parked in areas that currently are used for Northbourne School too, it just pushes congestion elsewhere. It may also increase the likelihood of people parking	Partially oppose	ANPR should be a last resort, and feels like a corporate greed move, profiting off parents who have no choice but to drive. I am one of the parents who	Partially Oppose	I walk to school every day with my child from Fleet Meadow, it's a 25-minute walk so I feel like I'm doing my bit. I have 3 main concerns. The first is that when I originally complained

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	on New Road, an already busy road with low visibility for children to cross, which I complained about to the council a year ago after witnessing a couple of near misses involving children.	ANPR	complained about Mereland Road, Cockroft Shops and New Road as crossing points for children and attended the council's traffic advisory group in November last year, because I want to find a solution that works for all. In that meeting school street was mentioned and quickly disregarded as impractical. However I	Overall	to the council about our dangerous walk, although Mereland road was mentioned, it wasn't the worst bit, the worst bit is the crossing at New Road over to Bridge Close, where I often see near misses. I worry that I will see more parents use this road to park, clogging up visibility and making an already dangerous crossing worse. The second issue is that my child is picked up from school [by relatives
			would have love to have seen the alternatives proposed in that meeting explored before this stage, like a lollypop lady, yellow lines, or a zebra crossing, which would surely be more cost effective and less disruptive.		who?] have limited mobility, who park near the school, where would you like them to park? If they can no longer collect my child from school on those days, I will have to spend an additional £1000 a year on after school club, which is punishing working parents in a cost of living crisis, and given many parents will be in a similar situation now I'm not sure

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					what the capacity to accommodate this will be like. It also removes the opportunity for that relationship. Finally and selfishly there are a handful of times in a whole year where I might need to use a car to pick up, mainly unplanned, when my childcare falls through and I have to quickly get to the school straight from work - where should I park now? I appreciate you have thought on this from an idealistic point of view, but I think you are forgetting that there are real families involved just trying to balance school and work, and you are penalising many for the poor actions of a few.
Strongly support	I wonder what consideration has been given to extending the school street to cover Northbourne primary school as well, given its proximity to the proposed school street zone. I believe this could be easily achieved by including the section	Strongly support	I believe ANPR would be the only effective way to enforce it.	Strongly Support	I think it is an excellent idea. Please do ignore the bleaters and naysayers who will claim unending and debilitating inconvenience; they just have

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	of Queensway from Newlands Avenue to Mereland Road and the very top of Cockroft Road so that access to the shops would be maintained from the south. Otherwise, I strongly support it owing to the chaos that drivers cause on these roads in the mornings, posing a danger to children walking to school and inconvenience to local residents through inconsiderate parking. Don't see why the area around Abbott Road should be included in this closure Pushing the traffic elsewhere and making it impossible for parents to juggle work and children. Employers will not be	Strongly oppose Strongly oppose	ANPR cameras are used as a crime fighting tool and should not be	Strongly Oppose Strongly Oppose	nothing better to do but moan. As a responsible parent, I have a duty to ensure my children arrive at school in a
	and children. Employers will not be sympathetic to their staff taking additional time out which could lead to people losing jobs		tool and should not be used to penalise parents for dropping their children at school before going on to work. Parents are not criminals and should be free to juggle their work/life balance without disruption. Life is difficult enough as it is without adding		children arrive at school in a timely manner whilst holding down full-time employment. This proposal flies in the face of that.

Location	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points					
			additional stress at		
			school run times		
Partially	I understand the need for a safer street	Partially		Partially	I think there is other methods
oppose	outside the school, however as a	oppose		Oppose	to be tried before doing this
	business owner I am on a time schedule				and closing off all
	to arrive at work and leave from work on				surrounding roads as I
	a time limit and therefore am unable to				usually park at my friends
	walk my children to school and still arrive				and walk out children down
	to work on time due to school traffic etc.				together I am unable to make
	on other streets in my route to work, this				it to the school and back in
	will therefore make it impossible for me				time for me to be able to go
	to get to my job for the time I am due to				to work on time, I think that
	start, I only drive them there as I				not letting people drive/park
	absolutely have to of course I would				down that road would be
	much rather be able to walk them to				beneficial as it's a nightmare
	school and back but this for me just isn't				to cross safely but the
	something I have time for as I need to				surrounding areas are just
	work to be able to pay our bills. I				going to be even busier
	understand the need to block cars from				outside of those areas
	parking outside the school and that road				therefore just moving the
	as it is very busy, however I think this				problem to another street
	could be resolved with the likes of a lolly				thats equally as busy due to
	pop lady or zebra crossing instead of				Northbourne school traffic
	penalising parents who have jobs that				
	will be affected by these new rules				
Strongly	implemented.	Strongly		Strongly	This is very welcome, it is s
Strongly		Strongly		Strongly	This is very welcome. it is a
support		support		Support	nightmare at school time. I've

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					seen so many near misses. Parents block my driveway. Park dangerously on junctions, pavements and grass verges. My son attended Willowcroft and now St Birinus [when crossing] he can't see past parked cars. When I leave for work it can take me 15 mins to get off my drive. Year after year it gets worse. This can't come soon enough for us.
Strongly oppose	The knock-on effect on other roads around this for parents dropping off children, residents in the area or anyone travelling around difficult will be considerable. It will be grid locked.	Strongly oppose	Should not be allowed. Money better spent on other services	Strongly Oppose	Children in St Birinus should be told more on road safety- not looking at their phones as they walk across roads. Parents from primary school should also hold their children's hands and not let them use their scooters outside the school gate.
Strongly oppose	It will just push traffic into other streetskids still need picking up!!	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	We need to stagger start/end times between the 2 schools When primary finished at 3pm and SBS 315pm it wasn't near as bad

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points					
Partially oppose	I would prefer to see tighter control over where people park than road closures. This will discriminate against those who genuinely need to drive their children in	Partially oppose		Partially Oppose	
Partially oppose	as they face some sort of barriers As I previously stated, my son doesn't live in the area and as such I drive him to school. So would benefit from dropping him as close to school as possible so he isn't late. I don't know if the exemption criteria could include personnel that live out of the Didcot area. Which could be proven by sending a letter with your name and address and also some identification.	Strongly oppose	I feel the cost of living is already at it highest for the majority of people without adding more costs and getting people into significant amounts of debt, plus court costs should be taken to court for not paying.	Partially Oppose	I think the plan should be revised so that people who drive in from out of the area could get closer to the school.
Strongly oppose	This is a main route for the local community to travel to work by passing the town centre. This will impact parents and local residents who work outside of Didcot and need to drop their children off on the way to work and will have to adjust work hours cover the time post walking to and from school and then caught up in congestion in town as local residents will have to go through town to head towards Culham or Wallingford. Instead those parking illegally should be penalised and a walking bus or similar	Partially oppose	Enforcement should be for poor drivers breaking rules, not penalising and inconveniencing the whole community.	Strongly Oppose	There are better options. Walking buses, controlled crossing, and enforcement of traffic standards - the fact that some parents don't drive, or park legally shouldn't mean the rest of the community are negatively impacted. Target those who apparently need to retake their driving test instead.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially oppose	implemented. I would gladly pay a small fee towards this or could be funded by poorly parking parents. I agree in principal - particularly for the need to restrict cars on Mereland road. However, there are a huge number of roads that are included in the proposed area. It's not a street but a district! - parents and carers need to park somewhere. I have always walked my children to Willowcroft for drop off and collection. Now I work full time and my elderly parents collect. They are not disabled but struggle to walk far. The proposed park and stride location from the Wave car park is far too far for them and also takes cars into the Northbourne Primary parking chaos. I genuinely don't understand where the current traffic is expected to go?	Partially oppose	Sadly, people who actually could walk but drive will not be deterred, they'll just find somewhere else to park and continue to park dangerously. I now live in Manor Road and Manor parents park in Manor Road despite their park and stride location being The Barn. Their behaviour has not changed - it's just moved them from Lydalls close into next door roads.	Partially Oppose	Yes to a school street but give people genuine and workable options that realise that not everyone is able to walk. AND that people are working hard and sometimes don't have the choice but to drive so they can actually collect their child on-time!
Strongly oppose	I think the proposed scheme will just put pressure on other roads. Children should be made aware of Road safety issues rather than inconveniencing such huge swathes of the community.	Strongly oppose	Big Brother	Strongly Oppose	
Strongly oppose	my elderly grandmother. This would majorly affect her ability to go out on day	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	trips and socialise as many of them leave and return around these times.				
Strongly oppose	The proposed plan does not take into account the location of the school on Cockcroft which is already full of school traffic. The proposed plan will make the traffic worse in this area and particularly given the small parade of shops and parking there which makes it a common drop off/pick-up point. I live on Abbott Road which currently does not any noticeable issues with traffic from St Birinus or Willowcroft schools. Any problems are caused by Cockcroft school which is not considered.	Partially oppose	I live on one of the affected roads and the proposed plans do not allow for normal visitors or normal use/work at my property. The requirement for 10 days notice for exemptions is ridiculous for a computerised system in 2025 and will severely impact on normal dayto-day activities. Who is paying for the administrative burden on all residents to use this system. Anyone who is planning significant work to their property is going to have to sort out exemptions in advance or foot the penalty fines for trades people who will not be happy to pay themselves.	Partially Oppose	The proposed plan has not been thought through on the impact to the area. Why couldn't be a no stopping zone rather than no traffic zone at those times to prevent the drop off of school children. This feels like a lazy solution to policing the problem which affects the residents of the area massively rather than the perpetrators of the issue who come in from elsewhere.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			We are a household with in excess of 10 vehicles routinely kept here but not all registered here. We would require ensuring exemption of all the vehicles to allow movement at required times and am concerned that many databases are unable to accommodate this number of vehicles. 10 days in advance would severely impact the ability of family or friends to come over at short notice which is a possibility for emergencies. What does regular visitors mean and how many will be allowed per property.		
Strongly support	Sinodun Road would probably have to be included too or they'll be stuck	Strongly support		Strongly Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
					,
Partially support	The one-way section of Kynaston Road would become very busy with traffic funneling down this route. My child needs to cross this road. So although it's a great idea, it could increase the risk of an accident on Kynaston Road. I say this because cars speed down our road frequently once they come around the corner. I think it would be really useful and safer to have speed bumps on this section of road regardless (as they have on Wessex road). Overall, I'm in favour but have concerns about children crossing Kynaston Road.	Strongly support	No	Partially Support	Please consider the safety of children who will be crossing streets around the proposed school street zone area.
Strongly oppose	If the roads are closed like this during school hours I will not be able to take my child to school. I have approximately 30 minutes to get back to my car and get out of Didcot to Steventon and this is manageable currently. I cannot do this if you close the roads located around here as I use multiple of these roads to navigate my way to work.	Neither support nor oppose		Strongly Oppose	Is there not an option to lock the main gate for St Birinus and for them to use the abbot road entrance or the alleyway located? Also Willowcroft changed the times of school to 15 minutes earlier which now means both schools are trying to get their children on time. Could you change the times of this rather than close roads and cause more chaos.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially	As I live Sinodun Road Didcot, the area	Neither		Partially	Provided the whole of
support	is not covered ne the proposal, I cannot leave my house as I need to go through controlled roads, there is no other way	support nor oppose		Support	Sinodun Road is included
	out, the whole of Sinodun Road should be included	оррозс			
Strongly oppose	The new system is going to force the nearby property owners to use alternative roads. That means the traffic is going to be heavy in the other part of the town. I believe that all parents whose children attend the school are aware how heavy the traffic is already. It should be individuals' choice if they would like to be part of the traffic or not. The ANPR system is not free, that raises new costs for the council. The system is not perfect, and it will punish citizens. Why can't the town put information that parking at school runs hours will be punished by fines. Mainly on the street that the busses goes through. Isn't it cheap and more efficient?	Strongly oppose	The new system is going to force the nearby property owners to use alternative roads. That means the traffic is going to be heavy on the other part of the town. I believe that all parents whose children attend the school are aware how heavy the traffic is already. It should be individuals' choice if they would like to be part of the traffic or not. The ANPR system is not for free, that raises new costs for the council. The system is not perfect and it will punish citizens. Why	Strongly Oppose	The new system is going to force the nearby property owners to use alternative roads. That means the traffic is going to be heavy in the other part of the town. I believe that all parents whose children attend the school are aware how heavy the traffic is already. It should be individuals' choice if they would like to be part of the traffic or not. The ANPR system is not free, that raises new costs for the council. The system is not perfect, and it will punish citizens. Why can't the town put information that parking at school runs hours will be punished by fines. Mainly on the street that the busses

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			can't the town put information that parking at school runs hours will be punished by fines. Mainly on the street that the busses goes through. Isn't it cheap and more efficient?		goes through. Isn't it cheap and more efficient?
Strongly oppose		Strongly oppose	While I fully support measures that improve safety for children around schools, I am not in favour of using ANPR cameras for enforcement in this case. I believe it would be more effective — and fairer — to focus on thoughtful road and parking space design that allows both vehicles and children to safely share the space. This could include traffic calming measures, better signage, and	Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			designated drop-off zones.		
			Using ANPR enforcement risks turning a safety initiative into a revenue- generating exercise, which may not address the root issues and could disproportionately impact local residents and families.		
			I encourage a more holistic approach that prioritizes inclusive design and community engagement over punitive measures.		
Strongly oppose	There needs to be a far wider exemption for family and friends of residents on the affected streets as this would necessitate parking a long distance from the house you wish to visit.	Strongly oppose	Anyone needing to travel on the proposed streets may not be aware of the restrictions and where they are able to travel. It's far too confusing	Strongly Oppose	

Location	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points					
Strongly oppose	Parents who will work will be penalized by making there commute long	Strongly oppose	I don't think it will be a good idea	Strongly Oppose	Working parents who drop there kids off to school then travel to work by car will be affected, I can also see most children may even move school because of this or will be extremely late every day
Strongly support	Currently it is extremely dangerous for pedestrians during school opening and closing times from drivers and vehicles, including unlawful parking. There are no sleeping police or pedestrian crossings for children to cross. The buildup of traffic means that the road is not clear for pedestrians to cross. Due to the close proximity of two schools, there is a high number of children walking during opening and closing times. This year a child was involved in a hit and run due to the amount of traffic driving between Mereland road and Kynaston road. I strongly support the proposed school street	Strongly support		Strongly Support	I have been part of the campaign standing outside in the mornings and evenings to prevent drivers parking on the zig zag lines and in disabled spaces as a deterrent to minimise any potential accidents. Financially the school is unable to continue this long term.
Partially support	I think the top corner point where Kynaston Road and Mereland Road meet should not be part of the school street, just to allow people to drive south down Mereland Road and turn right onto	Partially support	I work in tech, so I am naturally suspicious of any tech-related solution. ANPR is not infallible. That said, I do	Partially Support	Previously the proposal was to use the Wave car park as a 'park and stride' location. Since I like to go to the gym at the Wave of a morning, I'm

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	Kynaston Road there. As it is, covering		prefer it to the previous	<u> </u>	concerned that now I'll not
	that junction but leaving those roads open feels like a 'gotcha' meant to extract money from drivers through trickery rather than a safety measure.		proposal of using volunteers to man barricades.		have anywhere to park.
Strongly oppose	I do not think a school street in this area for these schools is an answer to the problem. Instead, we should be teaching our children how to be road safe by using a lolly pop lady/man, zebra crossings and traffic lights. Or another option would be for the council to provide free, more regular and reliable public transport to the schools. I feel imposing a school street with ANPR cameras is a moneymaking scheme and is just going to push parked cars and congestion onto other streets. I also have elderly grandparents who live in the proposed area for ANPR cameras that require daily care and visits from multiple family members due to ill health. This will impact us massively if we are not able to access their property to provide this care. I need to drive my car to school drop-offs and pick-ups as I work out of Didcot and travel to my workplace after drop offs and travel from	Strongly oppose	I feel this is a money making scheme and is not the answer. Please see my previous comment (6)	Strongly Oppose	Please see previous comments

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	work to school to pick up my children.				
Strongly support	Please make it clear where we can park, some may not be familiar with the surrounding area so may not know where they can park	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly support	The main roads along Mereland rd directly outside of both schools is very dangerous. There is so much traffic, cars blocking the road and volume of vehicles whilst school children are walking to 2 schools. It is very dangerous and currently has no safety for children with so much as a zebra crossing, lollipop woman/ man or anything to support child's safety. It's a matter of time before something serious happens.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose		Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	
Strongly support	Parking and driving makes it severely dangerous for parents and children alike. I have a disabled child and still walk but a lot of parents are just lazy and live closer than me and still drive, it's appalling, and their parking is pure selfishness	Strongly support	If you don't it will not be taken any notice of	Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	Additional parking spaces or limited parking times such as ANPR cameras tracking how long cars are parked for.	Partially oppose	Enforce parking times not drivers using the roads to get through to	Strongly Oppose	The traffic will just spread to surrounding roads and also conflict with the traffic for

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points	For examples cars parked for longer than 5-10mins receive fines thus deterring the number of parked cars causing issues on these roads but still allows people to drive and pick up and drop off efficiently		other destinations. Closing access completely will just push the traffic to the further surrounding roads instead. Use ANPR to ticket drivers without permits or blue badges parking on the roads for more than 5		other schools in Didcot such as Northbourne and Manor Primary school. There are also no regular bus routes through these areas.
Strongly oppose	Absolutely horrendous idea. I work night shifts pop by to see my nanny everyday to ensure she is okay. She is disabled, and doesn't drive so relies on friends, visitors and all of us as family to pick her up, drop her off, bring her food shop, answer her panic alarms etc. is she expected to create a long list of everyone who *may* visit her, and if they do and happen to go in those times, foot a bill? How about we teach children road safety, not acting like idiots when walking too and from school, and stop wrapping the children in bubble wrap. No one uses	Strongly oppose	minutes. Double yellow line surrounding roads also stop people from parking How is this going to be managed? Through a list that residents provide? Time wasting when your staff could be doing millions of better things	Strongly Oppose	I cannot see how there is anything positive about this. Cars don't drive round these roads for a jolly, and there are no issues with how the road is at the moment. Creating solutions for issues that aren't even there will cause further problems in the long run.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially support Partially support	Abbott Road as a rat run. You're alienating the elderly, preventing people from living. Traffic calming measures would be so much more beneficial to EVERYONE. Why leave out Abbot Close, and Sinodun Road? I live on Queensway and the ANPR zone will cover Abbot road that comes out either side of my house. This road is already busy during school time directly outside my house and with the ANPR zone added I anticipate this becoming worse so I would either ask the part of Queensway between the abbot road junctions be added to the ANPR zone if this goes ahead. Otherwise, I would be against the zone due to the challenge it would pose to residents as this would be more challenging than the current arrangement	Partially support Partially support	I am not against it in principle however residents in the roads just outside the zone will be hit with increase of traffic. My road is not in the proposed zone yet i am closer to the schools that houses on roads inside the zone. Parents will park blocking our roads instead.	Partially Support Neither Support nor Oppose	
Strongly oppose	Parents for both Willowcroft and St Birinus already park on Newlands Avenue and in the St Peter's Church carpark (without permission) both for drop off and for pick up. Newlands Avenue is difficult to navigate for those of us who live there. Pushing more parents	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	The proposed school street - which covers a vast area of South Didcot - will affect Northbourne School parents negatively, as well as the dignity and care for already

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	to Newlands Avenue (they're not going to switch to walking) will impact significantly the parents who are taking their children south to Northbourne School and north to Manor School.				grieving families attending funerals at St Peter's.
	The end of Glebe Road by St Peter's church is needed to be used for funerals - many of which take place close to the pick-up time for Willowcroft and St Birinus. Funeral vehicles will need access to Glebe Road - the Funeral Directors' vehicles often come from outside of the Didcot area and we will not be able to register their number plates in advance. Additionally, the increase in parents parking in St Peter's car park will prevent our funeral families being able to park there.				
Strongly oppose	These schools are on a road that links opposite sides of Didcot. If you close them off at school pick up and drop off times you will cause extra pressure on the Broadway and surrounding roads. If the schools are closed it makes sense but not when the schools are on a main road in the town. I would understand Mereland Rd itself but not also Roads	Strongly oppose	Why would you financially penalise car drivers even more when they are just trying to live their lives and get home to school and work the best way for them. I feel this is	Strongly Oppose	Instead of pushing the problem further out, because this won't stop people driving, you will just move the problem, why don't you actually provide safe places for parents to drop off and pick up in their cars. How about having American style

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	like The Croft, Ridgeway Rd and Sinoduin Rd which by the way you have not included on your list of Rds. You cannot completely cut the town in half at peak times.		just a money making scheme.		school buses that kids in the town can use and not just buses for the kids from further afield.
Strongly oppose	Hagbourne road needs to be taken into account. Many children walk and cross this road. Its continued allowance to be a rat run and hazardous parking by the council makes this dangerous.	Strongly oppose	As a resident on Kynaston Road, the ANPR proposals do no take into account, work related vehicles. I am in possession of a company funded work van, that is not registered to my address on Kynaston Road. Given my hours of work can be any time of day, I absolutely do not expect to have to take diversions to get to my property or out of my property in the work van. What if my family who are also on the list to collect my children from Willowcroft have to collect them from	Strongly Oppose	Ban the bus routes up Mereland Road and Kynaston Road. Roads are not suitable for buses as it is a residential area. I do support traffic reduction in the area, and the Hagbourne road needs to be taken into account with this also.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
P 0 0					
			school for whatever reason, they'd end up with an unacceptable PCN. I 100% support reduction of traffic AND buses around Kynaston, but it needs to be measured, a degree of flexibility and the bigger picture taken into account. I oppose the current proposal on the basis it doesn't take enough into account and other hazardous roads, such as Hagbourne road,		
Partially oppose	I live very near the area and have two children at Willowcroft. I think a lollypop lady would be acceptable, but road restrictions and ANPR are not. We've already had the 20mph limits and this is a step too far. This is a matter of bureaucratic overreach. Children need to learn to deal with busy	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	roads and drivers need to be able to get around.				
	I also feel that the restrictions will be confusing and the ANPR enforcement is impersonal, robotic and black and white.				
	Strong no.				
Strongly support	It seems very dangerous outside the school gate, with lots of cars very close to the pavement zooming past. It feels like it's only a matter of time that an accident happens.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Strongly oppose	Instead of closing all the nearby roads, why don't you enforce a walk to school policy / use public transport. Build a crossing with lights, put a 20, 10 or even 5 mph zone that's enforced by cameras/ANPR. I moved into my house on Ridgeway Road as it was far enough away from the schools not to affect us. I don't wish to have my road closed or even affected by this ridiculous idea. I own a business, and I firmly believe that this will be affected by the road closures. The side roads are heavily congested enough due to the sixth form parking their cars all the	Strongly oppose		Strongly Oppose	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	way up Sinodun, Richmere and Ridgeway roads. It will be all out chaos!! In addition to the above, I have a child who has SEN and has transport to he will become extremely distressed if the journey is longer or their is extra waiting for traffic lights, traffic hold ups, and this will unsettle his entire day. It is unfair and absolutely wrong of you to do this to him considering he's not attending the schools causing the issues! I strongly oppose your ridiculous ideas and will personally follow through with any legal action required, should you choose to action this idea, and it subsequently affects my business or my child's mental wellbeing.				
Strongly support	How are the rest of Sinodun Road meant to get out when you've blocked us in without getting fined?	Partially support	Where's the money coming from? Is our council tax going up or we got to pay for another bin to be emptied?	Partially Support	
Partially support	While I agree that it is necessary from a safety perspective, people who live on Green Road/Green Close who work in the Wallingford direction will have their commutes disrupted as the main routes	Strongly support	•	Partially Support	see response to question 6

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially support	towards their direction of work are closed/will be fined if they use these roads. I would prefer if there was another "exit" from this corner of Didcot anyway and this additional restriction on movements just emphasises this. Kynaston road is a nightmare on the best of days. 1.The section of Glebe Road between Newlands Ave and Lynmouth Road could be an issue. Residents who live and park on Lynmouth Rd, with cars facing clockwise, must use that stretch to leave it. There is no turning space and many residents park on the road. 2. Residents of East Didcot who attend Northbourne School will have a much harder job getting across to their school. 3. Newlands Avenue and Wessex Road are likely to become bottlenecks for traffic trying to cross East to West.	Partially oppose	Will it affect residents near but not on those streets?	Partially Oppose	
Strongly support	It is definitely needed as it's like waiting for an accident to happen. Dangerous for people & children walking to/ cycling to school cars all over pavements, grassed areas that used by local children. I struggle to get out of our house as some	Strongly support	Long overdue	Strongly Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
points	junctions are blocked by cars. There is no thought about safety for children let alone anybody else who lives locally. Block our back roads to park their cars which is where our parking. Basically, at those times a prisoner in our homes with no thought about how it affects our lives				
Partially oppose	at ALL. The underlying problem is it is dangerous for anybody choosing to do the best for their children by walking or cycling to school cars are being penalised by car users. Not safe at all. I live in Lynmouth Road, and I want to express my concerns regarding your	Partially oppose	We have plenty of cameras just because	Partially Oppose	It will just push the parking chaos in the surrounding
	proposal, School streets/Willowcroft primary and St Birinus secondary schools 1Two are the major ones: One end of Lynmouth Road intercept directly into Glebe Road. In the past years we have already experienced traffic problems because of the car parked along both the roads during the school entrance and exit time (8:00-9:00		people have no respect for the community and the community does not have enough police around to have a human/face-to-face touch on problems.		areas, and nothing is planned on this problem as the only implemented control policy is ANPR in the inner areas. Better would be if the schools, on their own, should organize a team of authorized trained people to control the traffic in the area to facilitate the car flow (I know a similar policy is in
	14:30-15:30). The proposed plan will have as consequence to transform Lynmouth Road in an				place at the Europa School in Culham to manage the car spaces)

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
	even more chaotic school car parking zone. 2. For many reasons, Lynmouth Road is often used like a one-way road, with entrance in Newlands Avenue and exit to Glebe Road. Should the Council plan be implemented, may not always be easily possible to turn the car and exit on Newlands Avenue, even more in consideration of point 1) 3. Consequently, understanding the reasons behind the proposed trial, I can see two alternative solutions to mitigate the problems described above: • to exempt the vehicles registered in Lynmouth Road, or alternatively • to include Lynmouth Road as part of the road closure scheme (and automatically grant the exception for the registered vehicles)				
Strongly support	No thanks	Strongly support	Extremely well proposed	Strongly Support	No
Strongly support	This road is so long and relatively straight encouraging drivers to treat it like	Strongly support		Strongly Support	

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Strongly oppose	a racetrack, an attitude not changed at school drop off/pick up times. It is so dangerous not improved by inconsiderate parking by some parents. Green Close isn't included within the proposed location points, however one exit of the closest access point for cars to stop/park, which knowing how many cars currently drive that way, would make the area unsafe. Additionally, where are all the cars that the 6th form students park currently along the road outside the school meant to go? Most likely onto Green Close.	Neither support nor oppose	No	Strongly Oppose	I agree something needs to be done, however I'm not sure this is the answer. As a parent of children at the school I walk, but I then need to get to work which currently means me driving out of Didcot toward Long Wittenham. These closures would mean I would have to make such a huge diversion, I wouldn't get to work on time. I currently leave Green Close and go via Sinodun Road to avoid driving past the school so I don't see why those back roads can't be left open to allow access out of Didcot. Another thing that would improve the situation is to remove the give way section next to the school exit and make that road double yellow

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
Partially support	Good idea	Partially support	No	Partially Support	lines so no parking is allowed. I feel there are more straight forward alternatives than the school street. Yes, I had nightmares with people parking on my road blocking my driveway so this proposal is welcome. I would like to also see ban on kids cycling as I have kids where I pick up by walking and some of the kids can cycle bit recklessly along with noise issue during school finish time. Aware some things cannot be controlled.
Partially support	I live in Sinodun road and no way to get out of Sinodun road without hitting the anpr it doesn't state that we will get permits either. Whilst I agree parking and driving around this area is a nightmare. I would like to get out of my road and get to work without getting a daily fine.	Strongly support		Strongly Support	
Partially oppose	As a resident of Sinodun Road I very strongly think the whole road should be included and not just half the road of residents being exempt from the ANPR as we are totally blocked in and can't get	Partially support	Include our whole street Sinodun road I need to be able to get to my relative at all times and get to work at	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Need to include all Sinodun road with exceptions for family, friends and deliveries and home education.

Location points	Location comments	ANPR	ANPR comments	Overall	Anything else
			l ne		
	out between the proposed hours, we cannot go anyway.		all times		
			We need to be able to		
			have friends and family for my disabled relative		
			at all times.		