## Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

Under section 9FE of the Local Government Act 2000, Overview and Scrutiny Committees must require the Cabinet or local authority to respond to a report or recommendations made thereto by an Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Such a response must be provide d within two months from the date on which it is requested<sup>1</sup> and, if the report or recommendations in questions were published, the response also must be so.

This template provides a structure which respondents are encouraged to use. However, respondents are welcome to depart from the suggested structure provided the same information is included in a response. The usual way to publish a response is to include it in the agenda of a meeting of the body to which the report or recommendations were addressed.

Issue: Infrastructure Funding Statement and s.106 Funding Review Report

Lead Cabinet Member(s): Cllr Judy Roberts, Cabinet member for Infrastructure and Development Strategy

Date response requested: 25 February 2025

## Response to report:

Enter text here.

Response to recommendations:

Recommendation	Accepted, rejected or partially accepted	Proposed action (if different to that recommended) and indicative timescale (unless rejected)
<ol> <li>That the Council should publish an outline of the milestones identified as requirements for the success of the project with associated deadlines.</li> </ol>	·	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

## **Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma**

2.	That the Council should identify who	
	is ultimately responsible for driving	
	the success of the improvement	
	project and by what measures they	
	will be held accountable to ensure	
	effective utilisation of funds.	
3.	That the Council undertakes the Local	
	Government Association's Planning	
	Advisory Service self-assessment	
	toolkit – 'Improving the governance of	
	developer contributions in order to	
	evaluate and improve current	
	practices.'	
4.	That the Council commits to working	
	closely with district councils and other	
	partners to ensure there are holistic	
	plans in place for infrastructure needs.	
5.	That the Council take steps to correct	
	any favourability biases in project	
	planning and delivery to ensure a	
	pragmatic and realistic approach.	
6.	That the Council uses the Government	
	Office for Science's The Futures	
	Toolkit in order to ensure its plans and	
	policies are robust and realistic.	
7.	That the data dashboard is launched	
	without further delay to ensure that	
	members can monitor s.106 funds and	
	projects.	
8.	That the Council should implement a	
	risk rating for s.106 moneys being	
	reclaimed if they are not spent in time	

## **Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma**