

## OUTSTANDING OBJECTIONS AND PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

ROAD	COMMENT	RESPONSE
Bourne Close	Several respondents have asked that this road not be included in the CPZ	Agreed – the road will not be included in the CPZ
Five Mile Drive	4 respondents object to the proposals as they consider that the commuter parking does not cause a nuisance and indeed is beneficial as it acts as informal traffic calming to reduce the speed of traffic cutting through the area	The proposed restriction will still allow some parking to occur – e.g. for visitors to the Cemetery
Foxton Close	1 respondent has asked for double yellow lines to be installed at the junction of Foxton Close and Lakeside to improve visibility	Agreed – double yellow lines will be introduced
Harbord Road	<p>Several respondents suggests that the solution to the problems would be resolved by removing the parking charges in Cutteslowe Park</p> <p>5 respondents have asked that the times when the restrictions apply should be extended to 8am-6pm.</p> <p>1 respondent asks that the restriction applies 9am-5pm and that the restrictions apply on Saturdays and Sundays only in the summer</p> <p>2 respondents ask that the proposed extension of the double yellow lines near Banbury Road be amended to provide some parking for residents and visitors</p> <p>1 respondent objects to any restrictions as they do not consider that the reported parking problems are sufficient to warrant action</p>	<p>This is a matter for the City Council to consider</p> <p>In order to ensure comprehension by drivers it is unwise to have a range of hours of operation across a small area and it is therefore proposed not to change the restriction hours in this road</p> <p>Agreed – a section of 1-hour parking will be introduced</p> <p>There is a widespread view in the area that parking problems exist and need to be addressed</p>
Harefields	3 respondents object to any restrictions as they do not consider that the reported parking problems are sufficient to warrant action	There is a widespread view in the area that parking problems exist and need to be addressed

CMDDL4

	2 respondents have raised concerns that the proposals will lead to additional parking in the courtyard areas off Harefields	These are private land and any illegal parking can be managed by the landlords
Haslemere Gardens	2 respondents are concerned that the proposed 2-hour shared use parking will encourage parking in the street which has a narrow entrance and is not suited for on-street parking	The proposed restrictions should reduce the attractiveness of the street for parking by non-residents
Hayward Road	3 respondents object to any restrictions as they do not consider that the reported parking problems are sufficient to warrant action  Several respondents suggests that the solution to the problems would be resolved by removing the parking charges in Cutteslowe Park  1 respondent has suggested that the CPZ is not really needed but if it is to go ahead then some 1-hour parking bays should be created to provide opportunities for visitors to park without the need to use permits	There is a widespread view in the area that parking problems exist and need to be addressed  This is a matter for the City Council to consider  Agreed – sections of 1-hour parking will be introduced
Lakeside	Many respondents have objected to the proposals as they do not consider the reported or predicted parking problems are sufficient to warrant action	In the light of the responses received and the distance from roads currently used by commuters it is proposed to remove Lakeside from the CPZ
Linkside Avenue	13 respondents object to the proposal, largely on the basis that it is not necessary in their part of the road	As most of the objectors live north of the junction with Lakeside (which is now proposed for exclusion from the CPZ) it is proposed that only that part of Lakeside between Five Mile Drive and Lakeside be included in the CPZ

CMDDL4

Marriott Close	2 respondents object to any restrictions in their street as they do not consider that the reported parking problems are sufficient to warrant action	In the light of the responses received it is proposed to remove Marriott Close from the CPZ
Millers Acre	Several respondents have asked that this road not be included in the CPZ	Agreed – the road will not be included in the CPZ
Sunderland Avenue	One respondent has asked that the informal markings in place at the eastern end of the road where it meets Northway be upgraded to double yellow lines to ensure that the road is kept clear of parked cars which can obstruct traffic turning into Sunderland Avenue	It is proposed to incorporate this change
Talbot Road	The results of a door-to-door survey carried out by one resident shows that whilst all resident support the proposed CPZ they would like its hours of operation to be 8am-6pm	In order to ensure comprehension by drivers it is unwise to have a range of hours of operation across a small area and it is therefore proposed not to change the restriction hours in this road
Templar Road	The results of a door-to-door survey carried out by one resident shows that the majority of residents in the northern section of the road were not in favour of the scheme but the views of residents in the southern section were more in balance.	In the light of the responses, the section of Templar Road between Lovelace Road and the northern arm of Pennywell Drive will not be included in the CPZ