

**GROWTH & INFRASTRUCTURE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
16 SEPTEMBER 2009**

**CALL IN OF A DECISION BY THE CABINET - RESIDUAL
WASTE TREATMENT PROCUREMENT – SELECTION OF
PREFERRED BIDDER**

Two requests have been received to call in the decision for scrutiny.

- A. The following Councillors have requested the decision be called in for scrutiny:

Patrick	D. Turner
Fooks	Hannaby
Armitage	L. Sanders
Purse	Sherwood
Altaf Khan	Atkins
R. Smith	

The reasons given for the request are:

- 1 The meeting was poorly publicised
- 2 Decisions on the planning applications should have been made before the procurement decision
- 3 The decision was made on financial grounds rather than environmental grounds
- 4 There is no specific “break clause” proposed for the contract
- 5 There was confusion about the mandatory elements of the tendering process
- 6 There was no report on the performance and track record of the two companies, so that element was not properly considered
- 7 There was inadequate consideration of capacity in the context of Oxfordshire’s needs and no consideration of the environmental impact of importing waste

- B. The following Councillors have requested the decision be called in for scrutiny:

Tanner	Pressel
Brighouse	J. Sanders
Darke	L. Sanders
Hutchinson	V. Smith
Malik	R. Stevens

The reasons given for the request are that this is not the best method of dealing with residual waste, that sufficient waste to feed the incinerator may not be available from within Oxfordshire and that planning permission for the Ardley site has not yet been considered.

In accordance with Rule 16 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules I have called in the decision for scrutiny by the Growth & Infrastructure Scrutiny Committee.

A.R. Cloke

Proper Officer and Assistant Head of Legal & Democratic Services

8 September 2009