## ANNEX 1

# OXFORDSHIRE SUPPORTING PEOPLE COMMISSIONING BODY - 11 DECEMBER 2009 

## GRANT BUDGET REPORT 2009-10

This paper provides a commentary on the two attached grant budget reports.

## Background

1. Latest figures broken down by client group are presented in a, attached report. These figures show actual programme spend as at 31 October 2009. These figures show approximately $7 / 12^{\text {th }}$ of 2009-10 projected spend.
2. Forecasted spend for all services in the budget has been revised at the end of September and compared against the budget agreed by the Commissioning Body.
3. Key movements between the two forecasts are explained by the following adjustments:

- commitments for existing services based on actual inflation awards and levels of utilisation
- commitments for existing services that moved between client groups
- commitments for existing services ending in 2009-10 based on known end dates
- commitments for new services based on latest predicted start dates
- adjustments made for commitments related to spend in previous years

4. Detailed explanation of these adjustments is presented in a second attached report. Results of this reconciliation suggest that end of year surplus is likely to be larger than forecasted at the beginning of the year.
5. Similar analysis of the commitments made towards the administration budget would also be completed and reflected in the revised forecast in due course.
6. Movements between the two forecasts are explained by the following adjustments, presented by client group:

## People in Adult Placement

7. Reduction in spend of $c £ 21 \mathrm{k}$ is explained by the fact that single service in this group has not been awarded inflation in 2009-10

## Older People

8. Overall increase in spend of $£ 102 \mathrm{k}$ is explained by the following factors:

- Additional commitment of $£ 114 \mathrm{k}$ to fund out of hours service to Cottsway sheltered housing residents, as this service is no longer provided by their landlord;
- Increase in spend of $£ 34 \mathrm{k}$ reflecting increased number of direct payment applications for community alarm services and new applications from leaseholders;
- Commitment of $£ 46 \mathrm{k}$ allocated against two small services removed from older people spend because these services are supporting people with mental health issues and should be reported within that client group;


## Homeless People

9. Overall increase in spend of $£ 165 \mathrm{k}$ is explained by the following factors:

- Reduction in spend of $£ 69 k$ on Lucy Faithfull House service provided by English Churches Housing Group because current contract with this provider has ended on 31 October 2009 and removing from the forecast amount paid towards last quarter in 2008-09;
- Additional commitment of $£ 225 k$ to fund Lucy Faithfull House service from 1 November 2009 following contract award to Two Saints;
- Reduction in spend of $£ 48 \mathrm{k}$ to reflect the fact that new Littlemore service will not come on line this year;


## People with Mental Health problems

10. Increase in spend by $£ 33 \mathrm{k}$ reflecting the commitment for two services transferred from older people spend, including an overall reduction in this commitment because Longworth Road service has closed on 30 September 2009;

## Young People

11. Overall reduction in spend of $£ 98 \mathrm{k}$ is explained by the following factors:

- Removal of commitment of $£ 410 \mathrm{k}$ to fund The Bridge service that was included in error;
- Additional commitment of $£ 282 \mathrm{k}$ to fund Hyped Oxford service (that replaced the Bridge service) and is provided by Bournemouth Churches Housing Association;
- Increase in spend of $£ 46 \mathrm{k}$ for two services were original forecast was too low;
- Reduction in spend of $£ 15 \mathrm{k}$ on two services that have not been awarded inflation in 2009-10;


## Generic Services

12. Overall reduction in spend of $£ 109 \mathrm{k}$ is explained by the following factors:

- Reduction in spend of $£ 120 \mathrm{k}$ on Connection service to reflect true contract value following notification by the provider that they have inadvertently double-counted start-up costs;
- Repayment of $£ 11 \mathrm{k}$ made to Banbury Homes because the provider paid an invoice twice;


## People with Drug Problems

13. Reduction in spend of $£ 83 k$ on the new Drug Recovery Project because new suitable property has not yet been sourced for this service and it is unlikely to open this year;

## Teenage Parents

14. Increase in spend of $£ 18 \mathrm{k}$ showing combined effect of increased spend on Rachel House service (£20k) because the original forecast was too low and decrease in spend on Godstow Cottage service (£2k) because it has not received inflation in 2009-10;

Women at Risk of Domestic Violence
15. Overall reduction in spend of $£ 101 \mathrm{k}$ is explained by the following factors:

- Removal of commitment of $£ 31 \mathrm{k}$ for Oxfordshire Women’s Aid service that was included in error;
- Reduction in spend of $£ 3 \mathrm{k}$ on A2Dominion Oxford based services because they are not eligible to receive inflation in 2009-10;
- Reduction in spend of $£ 67 \mathrm{k}$ to reflect the fact that new Outreach service in West Oxfordshire and Cherwell is not expected to come on line until 1 January 2010;


## People with Alcohol Problems

16. Reduction in spend of $£ 12 \mathrm{k}$ to reflect the fact that new wet house service may not come on line this year due to delays in securing capital funding.
