

Scrutiny proposal form

Section 1 – To be completed by member/officer proposing review**Subject area of proposed review**

The County Council's arrangements for winter maintenance of roads and footways.

1. Who raised this issue?

Steve Howell, Head of Transport

2. Aims of the review

- To scrutinise the current arrangements in place to respond to winter weather events
- To investigate lessons that can be learnt from the County Council's response to the extreme snowfall in January 2010

Section 2 : To be completed by the scrutiny team**CONTEXT**

3. (a) Are there any legislative/policy changes in the pipeline which may affect this issues?

No.

3 (b) How might these policy/legislative changes affect the review?

4. Which of our partners/stakeholders does this issue effect?

District Partners, Enterprise Mouchel, UK Highway Services, Carillion-WSP

5. Who has been consulted about the upstream importance of this review

Huw Jones, Director of Environment & Economy was supportive of this review.

CORPORATE PRIORITIES

6. Which corporate objective would this review address?

Better public services.

7. Which Sustainable Community Strategy Priority would this review address?
n/a

8. (a) Which Local Area Agreement 1 (LAA1) / LAA 2 target would this review address and how are we currently performing against this LAA1 target (if applicable)
n/a

9. How was this issue viewed by the Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA)?
This issue was not specifically mentioned in the last CAA.

Concurrent Work

10. Is this issue identified in the relevant directorate's business plan?

It is not mentioned specifically.

11. What work is concurrently being undertaken to address this issue?

This policy is reviewed internally each year, so the work undertaken by this scrutiny review will feed into that.

12. What value would the review add to this work?

It would ensure that any changes to the policy are effective and relevant for driving service improvements.

Resources

13. Which scrutiny committees does this issue relate to?

Growth & Infrastructure Scrutiny Committee.

14. What resource commitment would be needed to effectively conduct the review?

The support of a scrutiny officer.

15. What impact would allocating resources to this review have on the overall scrutiny work programme?

Decision of relevant Scrutiny Committee

Recommendations

Members are recommended to set up a working group to work with Officers on this issue.