

**Distribution:** 

## Project Progress Report

Project:	Supporting People Programme Review 2011-12	
Ref:	PPR008/CB/20120323	
Date:	12 March 2012	
Author(s):	NL/DRH/LD	
Project Manager:	Natalia Lachkou, Supporting People Programme Manager	
Sponsor:	Simon Kearey, Head of Strategy and Transformation	
Version No:	1	
Approvals:	Core Strategy Group	

Core Strategy Group, Commissioning Body, work

stream lead officers, Provider forum

Period: Mid-March 2012	Summary position		
Author(s): NL/DRH/LD	Last period: (R/A/G)*		This period: (R/A/G)*
1 - Status update			
	e delivere	ed remaining key delivera	bles in time for March 2012
Commissioning Body.			
2 - Status report against			
Key	Status (R/A/ G)*	Commentary	Planned actions / recommendations
Work Stream 1 – Strategi	c Contex	t and Governance	
1a. Proposals for strategic direction of travel, governance and administration of the programme beyond 2011-12	G	Refreshed strategic priorities and needs and gaps analysis informed the commissioning intentions agreed on 9 December 2011.  Oxfordshire County Council started the restructure of its commissioning functions, which include the administration of the Supporting People programme.  The future of these arrangements has been considered in phase two of the restructure, with staff consultation ending on 7 March 2012.  A) SWOT analysis was produced and discussed in January 2012. It has been used to inform the county council restructure and wider strategic conversations that	Next milestones:  B) To seek approval of the Annual plan 2012-13 at March 2012 meeting of the Commissioning Body  C) To seek approval of governance and administration arrangements for the programme by end of March 2012

	Т		1
		are taking place, notably the development of the Health and Wellbeing Board and its sub-boards.	
1b. Proposals for how to structure the programme and deliver its outcomes beyond 2011-12	G	Key elements of the programme have been reviewed by work streams.	Objective reached.
		Revised eligibility criteria were discussed with stakeholders in October-November.	
		Amended criteria were submitted for consideration to February CSG and approval to March Commissioning Body.	
		Report with key themes emerging from the needs and gap analysis, revised eligibility criteria and best practice in commissioning for outcomes has been submitted to March CSG and will inform delivery of the Annual plan 2012-13.	
1c. Commissioning and investment plan for 2012-16	A	Examples of commissioning plans used in other areas were evaluated.	Next milestones are:  A) Finalise the delivery plan by end of March 2012
		Commissioning plan for 2012-16 was approved on 9 December 2011.	B) Commence delivery
		Draft delivery plan has been produced and is	

		being taken forward					
		with named					
		commissioning leads.					
Work Stream 2 – Needs and Gap Analysis							
2a. Needs and gap		Refreshed needs and	Objective reached.				
analysis for housing	( G)	gaps analysis was					
related support		approved on 9					
provision		December 2011.					
W 1 24 2 2 2 1							
Work Stream 3 – Benchma	arking a		Next milestones are:				
3a. Eligibility criteria and over-arching outcomes		Revised Eligibility Criteria were	Next milestones are:				
for housing related	( <b>G</b> )	produced following	A) To seek approval of				
support provision beyond		engagement with	revised eligibility criteria at				
2011-12		service users,	March Commissioning				
		providers and	Body				
		commissioners in					
		September-November					
		2011.					
		Feedback received					
		was analysed and					
		informed the revised					
		document on agenda					
		for approval today.					
3b. Proposals for types of		The group evaluated	Objective reached.				
future provision and		best practice in other	Objective reaction.				
commissioning models to	( G)	authorities and	Additional action:				
deliver over-arching		consulted with					
outcomes that embrace		providers, districts and	A) To consider emerging				
personalisation and		other stakeholders	approaches to delivering				
localism agenda		about pathways and	greater personalisation				
		future models of provision.	and localism agenda further in 2012-13				
		provision.	Turmer III 2012-13				
		Personalisation has					
		been closer aligned to					
		eligibility criteria and					
		three local projects					
		have been agreed for					
		2012-13.					
		Discussion on					
		localism has taken					
		place with wider					
		stakeholders.					

	Final report was accepted in March.		
3 – Key milestones			
Milestone	Due date	Progress/comments	
Discuss, review and revise programme review methodology	June 2011, including Commissioning Body on 17 June 2011	Delivered on time	
Complete 2nd round of work across all work streams	July-September 2011	Most objectives were delivered on time	
Produce 2nd set of proposals	End of September 2011	Most objectives were delivered on time	
Consult, review and revise	October 2011	Delivered on time	
Produce 3rd set of proposals for approval	November 2011	Delivered on time	
Submit 3rd set of proposals for approval	Commissioning Body in December 2011	Most objectives were delivered on time	
Publish approved proposals	January 2012	Delivered on time	
Implement first part of the deliver	y January- March 2012	On track to deliver	
Seek approval of Annual Plan 2012-13, Eligibility Criteria and Charging Policy	March 2012	On track to deliver	
Begin delivery of Annual Plan 2012-13	April 2012	On track to deliver	

## \*Project Key:



- Major problems identified which mean the project is unlikely to deliver on time, on budget or to required standard.
- Remedial plans are not proving effective.
- Escalate to the next level with costed options.



- Significant problems identified which may put the project timetable, costs and/or benefits at risk.
- Remedial plan is in place and is being monitored closely to ensure that risk is mitigated.
- Escalate to the next level.



- Project is proceeding according to plan.
- Risks / issues are being managed within the project.
- There is no need to escalate to the next level.