

**Summary of comments received during Informal Consultation 9 to 20
November 2009**

No	Respondent	Support Proposal	Comments Officer	Comments
1	Wallingford Town Clerk	Yes	Majority of members are in favour of the installation of the speed cushions as a measure to reduce traffic	None
2	Crowmarsh Parish Clerk	No	<p>Not in favour of speed cushions.</p> <p>1) Not convinced that the scheme will reduce traffic by 25% as suggested.</p> <p>2) Feel that the only way to reduce traffic is to introduce a financial penalty for non-residents using Wallingford Bridge as a short cut.</p>	<p>1) 15% of traffic using Wallingford Bridge is through traffic. Experience from other traffic calming schemes has reduced through traffic by up to 25%.</p> <p>2) Charging non-residents to use the bridge is not feasible.</p>
3	Chairman, Crowmarsh Parish Council	No, as represented above.	<p>In addition to the comments from Crowmarsh Parish Clerk:</p> <p>1) Would like to see cushions extended between in the Lower Street from the Queens Head PH to Wallingford Bridge and a pedestrian crossing installed</p> <p>2) Road hump warning signs</p>	<p>1) There is no current proposal to install speed cushions in the Lower Street.</p> <p>2) The suggestion for additional signage will be examined at detailed design stage.</p> <p>3) Chicanes are not considered appropriate for this scheme, as they create stationary traffic.</p>

Annex 3: Wallingford Air Quality Management Area Traffic Calming Scheme

			erected on approach routes to Wantage Road and The Street 3) Chicanes at either end of Wantage Road and The Street and fewer cushions.	
4	SODC Cllr for Benson	No	Not in favour of road humps and has a number of other issues that she would like to see addressed in Wallingford: 1) Improvements to the existing cycle route 2) Improvement to existing footways 3) Reduced bus fares	1) & 2) Schemes suggested can be assessed through the LTP3 process. 3) Bus fares are set by commercial operators. OCC does not have funding to generally subsidise fares.
5	SODC Air Quality Officer	Yes	The council does not consider speed humps to be the most desirable solution; however they welcome any proposal or scheme that helps manage the air quality issues within the town and minimise through traffic.	None
6	SODC Air Quality Steering Group	Yes	Wallingford Air Quality Steering Group welcome any steps designed to help address the air quality issues by reducing traffic levels.	None

Annex 3: Wallingford Air Quality Management Area Traffic Calming Scheme

7	Oxfordshire County Councillor for Wallingford	No	Not in favour of the scheme, principal reason being that she doesn't believe it will achieve the desired end.	None
9	Wallingford in Business	No	1) Concerned that the scheme will affect trade in the town if it has the predicted effect of cutting traffic by 25%.	1) The scheme is designed to encourage traffic which does not have a destination within Wallingford to use the A4130 bypass, whilst providing a traffic calmed route for traffic destined for Wallingford.
10	Thames Valley Police	Yes	Supportive of the scheme in terms of improving air quality and helping to reduce traffic speeds on both roads which suffer from abuse of the 30mph limit.	None
11	Head of Delivery Oxford Fire & Rescue	Yes	No adverse comments to make, providing emergency access is maintained.	Emergency access to be maintained.
12	Thames Travel	No	1) Against the scheme but in favour of a reduced speed limit to 20mph.	1) A 20mph speed limit for Wallingford town centre is currently being put forward as a possible scheme within the third Local Transport Plan.
13	Deborah Whelan Access Officer Oxfordshire County Council	Yes	As a resident of the area she would like to encourage the reduction in traffic flow (and consequent improved air quality) in High Street East, Wallingford.	None

Annex 3: Wallingford Air Quality Management Area Traffic Calming Scheme

14	Area Engineer, Oxfordshire County Council	Yes	Recommends amendments to location of speed cushions and signage at detailed design stage.	None
----	--	-----	---	------